Notas Científicas

Stomatal analysis of citrus somatic hybrids obtained by protoplast fusion

Maria Angélica Pereira de Carvalho Costa⁽¹⁾, Weliton Antonio Bastos de Almeida⁽¹⁾, Francisco de Assis Alves Mourão Filho⁽²⁾, Beatriz Madalena Januzzi Mendes⁽³⁾ and Adriana Pinheiro Martinelli Rodriguez⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾Escola de Agronomia da Universidade Federal da Bahia, Dep. de Fitotecnia, Campus Universitário, CEP 44380-000 Cruz das Almas, BA, Brazil. ⁽²⁾Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Dep. de Produção Vegetal, Caixa Postal 9, CEP 13418-900 Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. E-mail: famourao@esalq.usp.br ⁽³⁾Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura, Laboratório de Biotecnologia Vegetal, Caixa Postal 96, CEP 13400-970 Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. CNPq fellow. E-mail: mendes@cena.usp.br

Abstract – The objective of this work was to evaluate leaf epidermis morphological characteristics of three citrus somatic hybrids, compared to their parents. Parental and somatic hybrid young leaves were collected and processed for scanning electron microscope observations. Citrus polyploid hybrids have fewer stomata per area and these are larger compared to their diploid parental parents. No differences in internal arrangement of the stomatal cells were detected between parental plants and somatic hybrids. Additional studies may determine if these differences will influence physiological behavior of the plants in the field.

Index terms: stoma, somatic hybridization, scanning electron microscopy, polyploidy.

Análise estomática de híbridos somáticos de citros obtidos por fusão de protoplastos

Resumo – O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar as características morfológicas de três híbridos somáticos de citros, comparando-as com as de seus respectivos parentais. Folhas jovens dos híbridos somáticos e seus respectivos parentais foram coletadas e preparadas para observações em microscópio eletrônico de varredura. Híbridos poliplóides de citros apresentam menor número de estômatos por área, com maior tamanho individual quando comparados com aqueles das plantas diplóides parentais. Não foram observadas diferenças no arranjo interno das células estomáticas entre as plantas parentais e os híbridos somáticos. Investigações adicionais poderão determinar se essas diferenças poderão influenciar o comportamento fisiológico dessas plantas no campo.

Termos para indexação: estômato, hibridação somática, microscopia eletrônica de varredura, poliploidia.

Interspecific and intergeneric crosses are important for the transfer of genetic characteristics between species and genera, with the possibility of creating new species. However, sexual hybridization between individuals of different species generally does not produce viable hybrids due to sexual barriers (Grosser & Gmitter Junior, 1990). In a breeding program, somatic hybridization can overcome sexual incompatibility through somatic cell fusion. In contrast to sexual hybridization, after protoplast fusion, all nuclear and cytoplasmic DNA from both parentals are united in one individual. Several citrus somatic hybrids have been reported, including specific combinations for improved disease resistance (Mendes et al., 2001; Costa et al., 2003).

Observation and confirmation of somatic hybrids can be done through analysis of leaf morphology, molecular markers, such as PCR-RAPD, and determination of chromosome number (Grosser & Gmitter Junior, 1990). Associations of different techniques are common, since Molecular markers substantial advant because it is based Grattapaglia, 1995).

some of them may not definitely confirm the hybrid nature of the plantlet obtained from protoplast fusion experiments.

Variable leaf morphology such as trifoliate leaves (Grosser et al., 1988) or petiole wings (Ballve et al., 1997) are commonly used as morphological markers to identify potential hybrids. When both parentals have specific characteristics in their leaf morphology, such as the trifoliate leaf and petiole wings, and the potential hybrid has leaves with both characteristics, the hybrid character of the plant can be inferred. However, characteristic morphological traits are not always present, especially when interspecific combinations are used.

Molecular markers can be very useful if polymorphism is obtained. Among molecular markers, RAPD presents substantial advantage over isoenzymes and RFLP because it is based on DNA amplification (Ferreira & Grattanaglia, 1995)

M.A.P. de C. Costa et al.

Cytogenetic analysis of the number of chromosomes is very effective. However, citrus chromosomes are very similar among them and also very small (Guerra, 2000), making very difficult to confirm the chromosome number by regular chromosome counting techniques.

Morphological, cytological, and molecular analysis are usually performed in plants after acclimatization, 8 to 18 months after protoplast fusion. Morphological characteristics are usually difficult to distinguish in earlystage in vitro cultures. Cytological analysis are commonly performed using root tips of plants grown in the greenhouse, mainly because in vitro plantlets do not always present roots and when they do, the roots tend to grow by cell elongation, with few mitotic divisions. This characteristic makes chromosome count very difficult especially for species with small chromosomes, like citrus. Although very efficient, molecular analysis of in vitro plantlets can be limited by the amount of leaf tissue needed for DNA extraction.

The objective of this work was to evaluate the leaf epidermis morphological characteristics of three citrus somatic hybrids, compared to their parents.

Three somatic hybrids (*Citrus reticulata* cv. Cleópatra + *C. volkameriana*, *C. sinensis* cv. Rohde Red + *C. volkameriana*, and *C. sinensis* cv. Ruby Blood + *C. volkameriana*) – confirmed by molecular (RAPD) and chromosome counting analyses – and their respective parental plants (*C. reticulata* cv. Cleópatra, *C. volkameriana* and *C. sinensis* cvs. Rohde Red and Ruby Blood) were used for leaf sampling.

Young leaves were collected from the terminal portion of shoots of plants grown in the greenhouse. Five young leaves were collected from each plant and 1cm-leafdiscs were cut. The samples were fixed according to Rodriguez & Wetzstein (1998), mounted in 13 mm aluminum stubs and sputter coated with gold for 180 seconds. Sample analysis and image recording were performed in LEO 435VP scanning electron microscope, operating at 10 kV.

The analysis was done by counting the number of stomata in fields of the abaxial epidermis at a magnification of 700 times, corresponding to an area of 0.1213 mm². The length of the guard cells was also measured in five stomata per field. Counts and measurements were done in ten fields of each sample, with a total of five samples of each plant, totalizing 50 fields per diploid or tetraploid plant. The statistical analysis was done by ANOVA and the means were compared by Tukey test (P>0.01).

Observations of the leaf abaxial epidermis under the
scanning electron microscope confirmed the differences
in number of stomata and length of guard cells between
the diploid parental plants and the respective somaticof Citrus spp. diplo
somatic hybrid, a
b) C. sinensis cv.
c) C. volkameriana.

hybrids, which had been previously confirmed as tetraploid plants (Figure 1). Diploid parentals presented higher numbers of stomata per leaf area and the length of the guard cells was smaller, compared to the hybrids (Figure 2). The internal arrangement of the stomatal cells



Figure 1. Scanning electron micrographs of abaxial epidermis of *Citrus* spp. diploid parental plants and the respective somatic hybrid, a) *Citrus sinensis* cv. Ruby Blood; b) *C. sinensis* cv. Ruby Blood + *C. volkameriana* and c) *C. volkameriana*

298

Stomatal analysis of citrus somatic hybrids



Figure 2. Number of stomata per leaf area (0.1213 mm²) and mean size of stomata (µm) in somatic hybrids and respective parental plants. Different letters in each group of hybrid/ parental show significant differences (P>0.01). (C = Citrusreticulata cv. Cleópatra; C+V = C. reticulata cv. Cleópatra + *C.* volkameriana; V = C. volkameriana; RR = C. sinensis cv. Rohde Red; RR+V = C. sinensis cv. Rohde Red + *C. volkameriana*; RB = *C. sinensis* cv. Ruby Blood; RB+V = *C. sinensis* cv. Ruby Blood + *C. volkameriana*).

was parallel to the guard cells for diploid parental plants and the respective somatic hybrids. Therefore, this arrangement did not allow any differentiation between the plants.

Size and frequency of stomata are affected by the ploidy level of the plant (Cutter, 1986). In polyploids, the stomata are larger and less frequent, showing a high negative correlation between these parameters. Stomatal analysis has been previously done to analyze plants with different ploidy levels with similar results, in tobacco and Antirrhinum sp. (Suzuki et al., 1981). Stomata were also evaluated in Passiflora spp. somatic hybrids as a parameter for confirmation of the tetraploid character. The methods used required the removal of the epidermis as part of the sample preparation (Dornelas, 1995; Barbosa, 1998).

The number and disposition of the stomata depends on the vegetable species (Salisbury & Ross, 1994). Differences in number, distribution, size and structure of the stomata in leaves of different species can lead the loss of water to an intensity a lot variable (Sutcliffe, 1980). Besides, those differences are also attributable

internal arrangement of the cell, space and the location of the vascular system.

This stomatal analysis of citrus somatic hybrids, shows that ploidy level can interfere with the number and size of stomata.

Acknowledgements

To Núcleo de Apoio à Pesquisa em Microscopia Eletrônica aplicada à Agropecuária, Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Universidade de São Paulo for the use of the Scanning Electron Microscope; to Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo, Fundo Paulista de Defesa da Citricultura, CNPq and Capes/PICDT, for financial support.

References

BALLVE, R.M.L.; MEDINA-FILHO, H.P.; BORDIGNON, R. Identification of reciprocal hybrids in citrus by the broadness of the leaf petiole wing. Revista Brasileira de Genética, v.20, p.697-703, 1997.

BARBOSA, L.V. Citologia de híbridos somáticos de Passiflora spp. obtidos por fusão de protoplastos. 1998. 94 p. Tese (Doutorado) - Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Piracicaba.

COSTA, M.A.P.; MENDES, B.M.J.; MOURÃO FILHO, F.A.A. Somatic hybridisation for improvement of citrus rootstock: production of five combinations with potential for improved disease resistance. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, v.43, p.1151-1156, 2003.

CUTTER, E.G. Epiderme. In: _____. Anatomia vegetal. 2.ed. São Paulo: Roca, 1986. 2pt.

DORNELAS, M.C. Cultura e fusão de protoplastos de Passiflora spp. 1995. 182p. Dissertação (Mestrado) - Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Piracicaba.

FERREIRA, M.E.; GRATTAPAGLIA, D. Introdução ao uso de marcadores moleculares em análise genética. 2.ed. Brasília: Embrapa-Cenargen, 1995. 220p.

GROSSER, J.W.; GMITTER JUNIOR, F.G. Protoplast fusion and citrus improvement. Plant Breeding Reviews, v.8, p.339-374, 1990. GROSSER, J.W.; GMITTER JUNIOR, F.G.; CHANDLER, J.L.

Intergeneric somatic hybrids plants of Citrus sinensis cv. 'Hamlin' and 'Poncirus trifoliata' cv. 'Flying Dragon'. Plant Cell Reports, v.7, p.5-8, 1988.

GUERRA, M. A citogenética de citrus e alguns outros grupos de plantas cultivadas no nordeste. In: ENCONTRO SOBRE TEMAS DE GENÉTICA E MELHORAMENTO, 17., 2000, Piracicaba. Anais. Piracicaba: Esalq, 2000. p.11-18.

MENDES, B.M.J.; MOURÃO FILHO, F.A.A.; FARIAS, P.C. de M.; BENEDITO, V.A. Citrus somatic hybridization with potential for improved blight and CTV resistance. In Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology - Plant, v.37, p.490-495, 2001

RODRIGUEZ, A.P.M.; WETZSTEIN, H.Y. A morphological and histological comparison of the initiation and development of pecan to the structure of the leaf, composition of the cuticle, (Carya illinoinesis) somatic embryogenic cultures induced with

299

M.A.P. de C. Costa et al.

naphthaleneacetic acid or 2,4-diclorophenoxyacetic acid. **Protoplasma**, v.204, p.71-83, 1998.

SALISBURY, F.B.; ROSS, C.W. **Fisiologia vegetal**. México: Iberoamericana, 1994. 1116p.

SUTCLIFFE, J.F. As plantas e a água. São Paulo: Edusp, 1980. 126p.

SUZUKI, D.T.; GRIFFITHS, A.J.; LEWONTIN, R.C. An introduction to genetic analysis. New York: W.H. Freeman, 1981.911p.

Received on September 5, 2003 and accepted on December 15, 2003

300