

## ISSN 1678-3921

Journal homepage: www.embrapa.br/pab

For manuscript submission and journal contents, access: www.scielo.br/pab

Adielle Rodrigues da Silva<sup>(1</sup> ⊠) [b, Kaliane Nascimento dos Santos Pinto<sup>(1)</sup> [b, Hermes Peixoto Santos Filho<sup>(2)</sup> [b, Maurício Antônio Coelho Filho<sup>(2)</sup> [b] and Abelmon da Silva Gesteira<sup>(2)</sup> [b]

<sup>(1)</sup> Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Departamento de Biologia, Centro de Genética e Biologia Molecular, Campus Soane Nazaré de Andrade, Rodovia Jorge Amado, Km 16, Salobrinho, CEP 45662-900 Ilhéus, BA, Brazil. E-mail: adi.elle@hotmail.com, kallysantos17@gmail.com

<sup>(2)</sup> Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura, Rua Embrapa s/n<sup>a</sup>, Chapadinha, CEP 44380-000 Cruz das Almas, BA, Brazil. E-mail: hpesse25@gmail.com, mauricio-antonio.coelho@embrapa.br, abelmon.gesteira@embrapa.br

□ Corresponding author

Received April 28, 2023

Accepted October 22, 2023

### How to cite

SILVA, A.R. da; PINTO, K.N. dos S.; SANTOS FILHO, H.P.; COELHO FILHO, M.A.; GESTEIRA, A. da S. *Phytophthora* gummosis in citrus scion/rootstock combinations with seedlings from buds challenged by this pathogen. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v.59, e03405, 2024. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1590/S1678-3921.pab2024.v59.03405. Phytopathology/ Original Article

# *Phytophthora* gummosis in citrus scion/rootstock combinations with seedlings from buds challenged by this pathogen

Abstract – The objective of this work was to evaluate the response of citrus seedlings formed from buds challenged with Phytophthora citrophthora to the infection caused by this pathogen. For this, 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime scions were grafted on 'Rangpur' lime rootstock. The experiment was carried out in a greenhouse, in a completely randomized design in 2×4 factorial arrangement. Seedlings were challenged by a *P. citrophthora* isolate. Lesion size, plant height, and stem diameter were evaluated, as well as the following physiological attributes: internal CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, transpiration, stomatal conductance, photosynthetic rate, and water use efficiency. In the 'Peral/'Rangpur' combination, seedlings formed by buds challenged by the pathogen exhibited smaller lesion sizes and higher photosynthetic rates. However, in the 'Tahiti'/'Rangpur' combination, seedlings presented larger lesions, greater stem diameters, lower transpiration rates, and an increased water use efficiency. The challenge with the pathogen and the use of the 'Pera' orange/'Rangpur' lime combination (less sensitive to the disease) shows additive effects in the induction of resistance to gummosis.

Index terms: grafting, inoculation, oomycete, plant immunity.

# Gomose causada por *Phytophthora* em combinações copa/porta-enxerto de citros com mudas formadas com borbulhas desafiadas por esse patógeno

**Resumo** – O objetivo deste trabalho foi avaliar a resposta de mudas de citros formadas a partir de gemas desafiadas por P. citrophthora à infecção causada por este patógeno. Para tanto, foram enxertadas laranjeira 'Pera' e limeira ácida 'Tahiti' sobre porta-enxerto de limoeiro 'Cravo'. O experimento foi realizado em casa de vegetação, em delineamento inteiramente casualizado, em arranjo fatorial 2x4. As mudas foram desafiadas com um isolado de P. citrophthora. Foram avaliados o tamanho da lesão, a altura da planta e o diâmetro do caule, além dos seguintes atributos fisiológicos: concentração interna de CO<sub>2</sub>, transpiração, condutância estomática, taxa fotossintética e eficiência no uso da água. Na combinação 'Pera'/'Cravo', as mudas desafiadas pelo patógeno apresentaram menor tamanho de lesão e maior taxa de fotossíntese. No entanto, na combinação 'Taiti'/'Cravo', as mudas apresentaram maior tamanho de lesão, maior diâmetro do caule, menor taxa de transpiração e maior eficiência no uso da água. O desafio com o patógeno e o uso da combinação laranja 'Pera'/ limão 'Cravo' (menos sensível à doença) apresenta efeitos aditivos na indução de resistência à gomose.

Termos para indexação: enxertia, inoculação, oomiceto, imunidade vegetal.



### Introduction

Most citrus orchards are established by combining the favorable characteristics of both scion and rootstock. This combination can contribute to increase the yield and quality of fruit, as well as to extend the harvest period (Tietel et al., 2020), as well as to enhance the resistance to pests and pathogens, and undergo adverse conditions and other physiological disorders (Ribeiro et al., 2019).

In citrus crops, root rot and gummosis caused by *Phytophthora* are among the most significant diseases worldwide (Das et al., 2019). Several species of *Phytophthora* are associated with these diseases in citrus crops, from which the most widespread are: *P. citrophthora* (R. E. Sm. & E. H. Sm.) Leonian, *P. nicotianae* Breda de Haan (synonym of *P. parasitica* Dastur), and *P. palmivora* (E. J. Butler) E.J. Butler (Hao et al., 2018; Das et al., 2019).

Among the scion varieties, 'Tahiti' acid lime [Citrus × latifolia (Yu. Tanaka) Tanaka] has emerged as an alternative for sweet orange growers, due to its good adaptation to tropical climate conditions and high economic value (Rodrigues et al., 2016). In Brazil, lime and lemon crops heavily rely on the use of the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock [*Citrus × limon* (L.) Osbeck] (Cunha Sobrinho et al., 2013). The use of 'Rangpur' lime rootstock are more frequent in Brazil, exhibiting exceptional yield performance when associated with 'Pera' orange (Citrus × aurantium var. sinensis L.). The 'Rangpur' rootstock induces great vigor, early production, and good tolerance to water stress, as well as resistance to the Citrus tristeza virus (CTV) (Carvalho et al., 2016). However, the 'Rangpur' lime is considered susceptible to gummosis caused by Phytophthora citrophthora and Phytophthora parasitica, which may reduce plant longevity (Carvalho et al., 2016).

The initial perception of pathogens by plants can induce a state of enhanced activation of defense responses to subsequent pathogen challenges. This priming of defense mechanisms is linked to what is known as the "systemic acquired resistance" (SAR) (Mauch-Mani et al., 2017).

Defense priming requires immunological memory to retain the changes or information acquired during the initial detection of pathogens, subsequently recalling this information during later pathogen challenges (Ramirez-Prado et al., 2018). Studies have shown that plants challenged with primary stimuli can exhibit physiological, molecular, or epigenetic alterations within seconds or hours after the challenge. These changes can either be transient or persist throughout the plant life cycle, and they can also be inherited by subsequent generations (Mauch-Mani et al., 2017; Bertini et al., 2018; Meller et al., 2018). When challenged by stress, plants activate specific molecules, which rapidly become available to prevent or hinder pathogen attacks (Bertini et al., 2018).

The objective of this work was to evaluate the response of citrus seedlings formed from buds challenged with *P. citrophthora* to the infection caused by this pathogen.

### **Material and Methods**

The experiment was carried out in greenhouse maintained at 25°C, at Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura, in the municipality of Cruz das Almas, in the state of Bahia, Brazil (12°40'39"S, 39°06'23"W, at 225 m altitude). 'Rangpur' lime seed were sown in seedling plugs. After six months, the rootstocks were transplanted into plastic bags ( $28 \times 28$  cm) containing washed sand, commercial substrate (Plantmax Citrus, Brazil) consisting of vermiculite, Pinus sp. bark, and organic matter, and soil at 1:1:2 proportion, respectively. Following transplantation into bags, these rootstocks were used for grafting buds of 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime scions, which were joined with the 'Indio' citrandarin rootstock, known to be less sensitive to Phytophthora (Lima et al., 2018); this grafting was performed to induce a possible acquired resistance from the first challenge event.

Seedlings were placed on suspended benches, receiving daily irrigation and weekly fertilization with 125mL soil SS 220 nutrient solution (Solo Sagrado, Brandt, SP, Brazil), containing nitrogen, magnesium, boron, manganese, molybdenum and zinc. The grafted seedlings were challenged with the isolate LRS 45/17 of *P. citrophthora*, using the mycelial disk inoculation method applied under the bark (Siviero et al., 2002).

A completely randomized design was used in a  $2 \times 4$  factorial arrangement, with the two scion genotypes (GEN - 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime), and four challenge levels (control, inoculated, unchallenged, and challenged). Physiological evaluations were conducted with five replicates, while phenotypic evaluations had nine replicates.

The plants were subjected to inoculation by removing a bark disk from the rootstock, using an iron boring tool at about 10 cm above the soil level. Over the exposed cambium was placed a mycelium disk of colonies of *P. citrophthora* in carrot-agar medium (Siviero et al., 2002) for seven days of growth. The inoculation site was covered with a separated bark disk, protected with moistened cotton, and covered with adhesive tape. This tape remained in place for 15 days after inoculation (DAI). In the control plants, culture medium disks were placed without the pathogen, following the same protective procedure.

Two months after the initial inoculation in the 'Indio' citrandarin rootstock, buds from the scion varieties were removed and grafted onto 'Rangpur' lime rootstock. Three months after the new graft, a second challenge was conducted by inoculating the *P. citrophthora* isolate in the stem of the new combinations, following the same procedure used in

the 'Indio' citrandarin rootstock. Each scion/rootstock combination was divided into four groups: control (no inoculation in challenges I and II); inoculated (inoculation only in challenge I); unchallenged (inoculation only in challenge II); and challenged (inoculation in challenges I and II), as shown in Figure 1.

Evaluations were performed at 30, 60, and 90 DAI from the second challenge. Plant height (cm) was measured with a measuring tape, from the root collar to the tip of the plant, and stem diameter was measured (mm) using a digital caliper rule at 10 cm from the base of the plants.

The size of the lesion caused by *P. citrophthora* on the stems was evaluated at 90 DAI from the second challenge. This evaluation involved removing the bark from the stem surface near the inoculation site. The areas with lesions (mm<sup>2</sup>) were plotted on transparent



**Figure 1.** Schema of the challenges with *Phytophthora citrophthora* in combinations of 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime scions on 'Indio' citrandarin rootstock in challenge I and grafting with buds of the scion varieties from challenge I onto 'Rangpur' lime rootstock in challenge II.

paper and measured (pixels) using the ASSESS software (Lamari, 2002).

During the second challenge, physiological characteristics were assessed, including the internal concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the leaf (Ci,  $\mu$ mol of CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), stomatal conductance (gs, mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), transpiration rate (E,  $\mu$ mol de H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), photosynthetic rate (A,  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and water use efficiency (WUE, mmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-1</sup>), calculated by the ratio between A/E. These measurements were taken at 1, 2, 3, 10, 30, 60, and 90 DAI. Evaluations were performed on fully expanded leaves located in the middle third of the plants, during the morning period between 09:00 and 11:00 h, using an infrared gas analyzer LCpro-SD IRGA (ADC Bioscientific Limited, Hoddesdon, England, UK).

The statistical analysis of variance was carried for the data obtained in the phenotypic and physiological evaluations, employing the ExpDes.pt package within the R software (R Core Team, 2020). When significant differences were observed, means were compared using the Tukey's test, at 5% probability.

### **Results and Discussion**

Plant height remained unaffected by the pathogen challenges, as there was a significant difference only for the scion source of variation at 30, 60, and 90 DAI (Table 1). Concerning stem diameter of the rootstock, significant differences in the challenge factor were observed for 'Tahiti' acid lime at 30 DAI (Table 2). In this case, plants formed by both challenged and unchallenged buds by this pathogen exhibited a greater diameter than control and inoculated plants. At 60 DAI, plants formed from challenged and unchallenged buds of both scion/rootstock combinations showed a greater diameter than those of the control and inoculated plants.

Depending on the grafted scion variety, the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock induced different responses in the phenotypic characteristics of plant height, stem diameter, and in the size of the lesion caused by *P. citrophthora*. A smaller stem diameter was observed in the combination with the 'Pera' orange scion, while a greater plant height was observed in the 'Tahiti' acid lime scion on the same rootstock (Table 1). This result corroborates that from the evaluation of 'Tahiti' acid lime performance on rootstocks in the field, showing that 'Rangpur' lime induced a greater height, canopy volume, and yield in this scion variety (Morais et al., 2020).

The decomposition of the challenge within each scion level showed differences in the response of the plants to pathogen attacks, depending on the combination of the scion with the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock (Table 1). In the combination with the 'Pera' orange scion, plants formed by challenged buds exhibited a smaller lesion size than those formed by unchallenged buds. However, in the combination with 'Tahiti' acid lime scions, there was no statistical difference for the lesion size in the stem of plants formed by challenged and unchallenged buds (Figure 2).

Mandarin and their hybrids are less sensitive than oranges, which in turn are less sensitive than lemons and limes (Fawcett & Bitancourt, 2013). However, all commercial citrus scion cultivars are susceptible to *Phytophthora* spp. infection, although they become moderately susceptible to bark infection when grafted onto specific rootstocks (Graham & Feichtenberger, 2015).

In the combination of 'Pera' orange grafted on 'Rangpur' lime, which includes cultivars less or more

 Table 1. Analysis of variance for plant height, stem diameter, and size of the lesion of the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock with 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime scion in plants formed with buds challenged and not challenged by *Phytophthora citrophthora*.

| Source of variation <sup>(1)</sup> | Plant height (cm)    |                      |                      |         | Lesion (mm <sup>2</sup> ) |                      |                      |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| -                                  | 30 DAI               | 60 DAI               | 90 DAI               | 30 DAI  | 60 DAI                    | 90 DAI               | 90 DAI               |
| Scion                              | 0.0000**             | 0.0000**             | 0.0000**             | 0.0314* | 0.1270 <sup>ns</sup>      | 0.0683 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.2369 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Challenge                          | 0.7240 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.2993 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.6725 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0107* | 0.0045**                  | 0.4641 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.7854 <sup>ns</sup> |
| Scion x challenge                  | 0.9509 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.8357 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.9756 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0324* | 0.3986 <sup>ns</sup>      | 0.4665 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0092*              |
| CV (%)                             | 23.2                 | 19.91                | 19.01                | 7.56    | 9.07                      | 11.35                | 18.27                |

<sup>(1)</sup>Scion: 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime; challenge: control, inoculated, unchallenged, and challenged plants; x, interaction between the sources of variation. \* and \*\*Significant at 5 and 1% probability, respectively.<sup>ns</sup>Nonsignificant. CV, coefficient of variation.

sensitive to the pathogen, plants formed from buds challenged with the pathogen displayed a greater stem diameter and a limited damage to a small area, resulting in smaller lesions. This suggests that the first challenge with *P. citrophthora* may have contributed to an improved response in the second challenge. An opposite reaction was observed in 'Tahiti' acid lime on 'Rangpur' lime, for which plants formed by buds

**Table 2.** Challenge factor within the 'Tahiti' acid lime scion level at 30 and 60 days after inoculation (DAI) for stem diameter (mm) of the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock, in plants formed with buds challenged and unchallenged by *Phytophthora citrophthora*<sup>(1)</sup>.

| Challenge <sup>(2)</sup> | 30 DAI   | 60 DAI   |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| Control                  | 5,8800c  | 6,9854ab |
| Inoculated               | 6,0050bc | 6,6183b  |
| Unchallenged             | 6,4458ab | 7,1571a  |
| Challenged               | 6,6383a  | 7,2554a  |

<sup>(1)</sup>Means followed by equal lowercase letters, in the lines, do not differ by the Tukey's test, at 5% probability. <sup>(2)</sup>Challenged: inoculation in challenges I (bud initial inoculation) and II (inoculation in new scionrootstock combination); unchallenged: inoculation only in challenge II; control (no inoculation in challenges I and II); and inoculated: inoculation only in challenge I. challenged with the pathogen exhibited a greater stem diameter and no significant difference for lesion size, in comparison with plants formed by unchallenged buds. This suggests that, in this combination, it was not possible to limit the pathogen's development in the second challenge (Table 1).

The subsequent inoculation of the pathogen triggered varying defense responses among the scion/ rootstock combinations, although some studies have shown that plants challenged or prepared through "priming" respond more rapidly and robustly to subsequent pathogen attack (Mauch-Mani et al., 2017; Bertini et al., 2018; Meller et al., 2018). The priming effect of defense through buds challenged with the pathogen activated the immune system in the rootstock combination of 'Pera' orange and 'Rangpur' lime, resulting in enhanced protection during the second challenge, reducing the infection propagation, showing smaller lesion sizes (Figure 2) and higher photosynthetic rates (Figure 3 E).

However, in the rootstock combination of 'Tahiti' acid lime and the 'Rangpur' lime, buds challenged with the pathogen did not induce resistance to *P. citrophthora*. In this combination, larger lesion sizes were observed (Figure 2), suggesting that the induced biotic stress memory from the first inoculation was not activated or



**Figure 2.** Area size (mm<sup>2</sup>) of the lesion in the stem of the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock with 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime scions evaluated at 90 days after inoculation with *Phytophthora citrophthora*. Means followed by equal lowercase letter do not differ by the Tukey's test, at 5% probability. Challenged: inoculation in challenges I (bud initial inoculation) and II (inoculation in new scion-rootstock combination). Unchallenged: inoculation only in challenge II.

transmitted to the plants during the second pathogen challenge.

Differences were observed for Ci and WUE in all sources of variation, in gs and A for scion cultivar and pathogen challenge, and in E only for scion (Table 3). These differences indicate that the scion/rootstock combination and the challenge with inoculation influenced the plant defense responses against *P. citrophthora*. These results open up new perspectives regarding the use of defense primings in the disease control of grafted plants. At 3 and 10 DAI, the 'Tahiti' acid lime plants formed by challenged buds and the inoculated plants exhibited higher Ci than the plants formed from unchallenged buds and the control plants. However, at 60 DAI, plants formed by unchallenged buds of this combination had lower Ci than plants in the other groups (Figure 3 A).



**Figure 3.** Physiological responses in 'Pera' orange (PSO) and 'Tahiti' acid lime (TAL) leaves grafted on the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock, in plants formed with buds challenged and not challenged by *Phytophthora citrophthora*, as follows: A, internal concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in the leaf (Ci,  $\mu$ mol of CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); B, stomatal conductance (gs, mmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); C, photosynthetic rate (A,  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); D, transpiration rate (E,  $\mu$ mol of H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); E, water use efficiency (WUE, mmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol H<sub>2</sub>O<sup>-1</sup>) ratio between A/E. Challenged: inoculation in challenges I (buds initial inoculation) and II (inoculation in a new scion-rootstock combination). Unchallenged: inoculation only in challenge II. Control (no inoculation in challenges I and II). Inoculated: inoculation only in challenge I.

At 10 DAI, the plants formed by unchallenged buds showed a reduction of gs than the other plants (Figure 3 B), and A at 60 DAI was higher in plants formed by challenged buds of 'Pera' orange (Figure 3 C). At 20 and 90 DAI, the plants formed by challenged buds of 'Tahiti' acid lime exhibited a lower E value (Figure 3 D). At 60 DAI, plants formed by challenged buds had higher WUE than the control plants (Figure 3 E).

Several studies have reported that pathogen infections can lead to modifications in the photosynthetic system, primarily resulting in a decrease in A and gs (Berger et al., 2007; He et al., 2007; Grimmer et al., 2012). In the present study, the gs values were influenced by the challenge with *P. citrophthora*, in plants formed by unchallenged buds showing lower gs values. Stomatal closure is a protection mechanism of plants that can be altered by biological and adaptive factors. The presence of molecules derived from pathogens can lead to a decline in gs (He et al., 2007; Grimmer et al., 2012). Pathogen infections have led to a reduction of A, which may have resulted from a reduction of gs or from a direct damage to the photosynthetic apparatus, causing the metabolic inhibition of photosynthesis (Berger et al., 2007).

**Table 3.** Analysis of variance for gas exchanges in 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime leaves grafted on the 'Rangpur' lime rootstock, in plants formed with buds challenged and unchallenged with *Phytophthora citrophthora*, as follows: internal concentration of  $CO_2$  in the leaf (Ci); stomatal conductance (gs); transpiration rate (E); photosynthetic rate (A); and efficiency of water use (WUE) ratio between A/E.

|                          |  |                        |                      | Pr>Fc                |                      |                      |                      |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Source of                |  | Days after inoculation |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |  |  |
| variation <sup>(1)</sup> | 1  | 2                      | 3                    | 10                   | 20                   | 60                   | 90                   |  |  |
|                          | Internal concentration of $CO_2$ in the leaf (Ci, µmol of $CO_2$ m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> ) |                        |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |  |  |
| Scion                    | 0.0003**   | 0.0000**               | $0.0000^{\text{ns}}$ | 0.0000**             | 0.0185*              | 0.0000**             | 0.0849 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Challenge                | 0.0395*  | 0.0018**               | 0.0053 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0000**             | 0.0036**             | 0.0123*              | 0.9529 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Scion x challenge        | 0.5293 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.0576 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.0113*              | 0.0304*              | 0.0067**             | 0.6653 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0263*              |  |  |
| CV (%)                   | 5.98   | 6.03                   | 6.14                 | 6.41                 | 8.56                 | 9.35                 | 8.98                 |  |  |
|                          | Stomatal conductance (gs, mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )                                   |                        |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |  |  |
| Scion                    | 0.0000**   | 0.0000**               | 0.0000**             | 0.0104*              | 0.0011**             | 0.0045**             | 0.0854 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Challenge                | 0.4428 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.2640 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.1498 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0104*              | $0.0719^{ns}$        | 0.0274*              | 0.2245 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Scion x challenge        | 0.5969 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.6186 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.5573 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.1386 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.1127 <sup>ns</sup> | $0.3732^{ns}$        | $0.1422^{ns}$        |  |  |
| CV (%)                   | 24.3   | 24.8                   | 22.7                 | 26.98                | 20.97                | 38.40                | 19.39                |  |  |
|                          | Transpiration rate (E, µmol of H <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )                  |                        |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |  |  |
| Scion                    | 0.0000**   | 0.0000**               | 0.0000**             | 0.0000**             | 0.0000**             | $0.0647^{ns}$        | 0.0006**             |  |  |
| Challenge                | 0.4583 <sup>ns</sup>   | $0.7089^{\text{ns}}$   | 0.4092 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.4233 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.3231 <sup>ns</sup> | $0.6284^{ns}$        | 0.0290 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Scion x challenge        | 0.9180 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.6248 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.5538 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.1752 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.3760 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.4142 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0429 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| CV (%)                   | 14.12  | 17.42                  | 16.09                | 15.88                | 10.58                | 28.89                | 12.74                |  |  |
|                          | Photosynthetic rate (A, µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )                                     |                        |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |  |  |
| Scion                    | 0.0000**   | $0.000^{0}$ **         | 0.0000**             | 0.0000**             | 0.2705 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.3585 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.1816 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Challenge                | 0.7813 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.4568 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.2395 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.5190 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.9463 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0443*              | 0.9913 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Scion x challenge        | 0.6163 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.2542 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.2727 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.1337 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.1991 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.7197 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.4004 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| CV (%)                   | 16.73  | 21.11                  | 15.79                | 18.79                | 15.32                | 31.54                | 21.59                |  |  |
|                          | Efficiency of water use (WUE, mmol CO <sub>2</sub> mol H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>-1</sup> )            |                        |                      |                      |                      |                      |                      |  |  |
| Scion                    | 0.2525 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.0224*                | 0.0116**             | 0.2915 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.3560 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.3508 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.1815**             |  |  |
| Challenge                | 0.9759 <sup>ns</sup>   | $0.7170^{\mathrm{ns}}$ | 0.9406*              | 0.0399*              | 0.0861 <sup>ns</sup> | 0.0122*              | 0.1324 <sup>ns</sup> |  |  |
| Scion x challenge        | 0.8337 <sup>ns</sup>   | 0.0965*                | 0.1782*              | 0.0022**             | $0.2878^{ns}$        | $0.0930^{ns}$        | $0.0035^{ns}$        |  |  |
| CV (%)                   | 16.72  | 17.04                  | 13.86                | 14.63                | 14.74                | 20.86                | 19.08                |  |  |

<sup>(1)</sup>Scion: 'Pera' orange and 'Tahiti' acid lime; challenge: control, inoculated, non-challenged, and challenged plants; x, interaction between the sources of variation. \* and \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% probability, respectively. <sup>18</sup>Nonsignificant.

There was likely no induction of SAR in plants formed from buds challenged with the pathogen, from the combination with 'Tahiti' acid lime scion, since they did not exhibit lower gs than plants formed by unchallenged buds by the pathogen. In plants induced by SAR, there is a reduction in A in noninoculated distal leaves, both on a transcriptional and physiological level. This reduction of water loss in leaves suggests a decline in leaf E and gs, when SAR is established (Bernsdorff et al., 2016).

Pathogens can cause various types of damage to plants, with specific damage to plant physiology being particularly noteworthy, as it results in changes in the photosynthetic processes (Berger et al., 2007). Plants with a 'Tahiti' acid lime scion formed from unchallenged buds significantly increased the absorption of Ci, in comparison with plants formed by buds challenged by the pathogen, which may have adversely affected the photosynthetic rates. This fact suggests that *P. citrophthora* infections impacted the stem tissues of the citrus rootstock, leading to an inability to take up sufficient water and nutrients to support their development (Hao et al., 2018).

### Conclusions

1. The challenge with *Phytophthora citrophthora* results in an induction effect of resistance in the combination of 'Pera' orange and 'Rangpur' lime.

2. Challenged 'Pera'/'Rangpur' plants are more efficient than 'Tahiti'/'Rangpur' in the control of *Phytophthora* gummosis, as the pathogen challenge and the use of cultivars less sensitive to *P. citrophthora* showed additive effects.

3. The combination 'Tahiti' acid lime and 'Rangpur' lime does not show any effect of inductive resistance.

### Acknowledgments

To Dr. Eduardo Feichtenberger (Unidade de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento de Sorocaba, APTA Regional), for having provided an isolate of *P. citrophthora* to the Laboratory of Phytopathology of Embrapa Mandioca e Fruticultura.

### References

BERGER, S.; SINHA, A.K.; ROITSCH, T. Plant physiology meets phytopathology: plant primary metabolism and plant-

pathogen interactions. **Journal of Experimental Botany**, v.58, p.4019-4026, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erm298.

BERNSDORFF, F.; DÖRING, A.-C.; GRUNER, K.; SCHUCK, S.; BRÄUTIGAM, A.; ZEIER, J. Pipecolic acid orchestrates plant systemic acquired resistance and defense priming via salicylic acid-dependent and -independent pathways. **The Plant Cell**, v.28,

p.102-129, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.15.00496.

BERTINI, L.; PROIETTI, S.; FOCARACCI, F.; SABATINI, B.; CARUSO, C. Epigenetic control of defense genes following MeJA-induced priming in rice (*O. sativa*). Journal of Plant **Physiology**, v.228, p.166-177, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jplph.2018.06.007.

CARVALHO, L.M. de; CARVALHO, H.W.L. de; SOARES FILHO, W. dos S.; MARTINS, C.R.; PASSOS, O.S. Portaenxertos promissores, alternativos ao limoeiro 'Cravo', nos Tabuleiros Costeiros de Sergipe. **Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira**, v.51, p.132-141, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/ S0100-204X2016000200005.

CUNHA SOBRINHO, A.P. da; PASSOS, O.S.; SOARES FILHO, W. dos S. Cultivares porta-enxerto. In: CUNHA SOBRINHO, A.P. da; MAGALHÃES, A.F. de J.; SOUZA, A. da S.; PASSOS, O.S.; SOARES FILHO, W. dos S. (Ed.). **Cultura dos citros**. Brasília: Embrapa, 2013. v.1, p.233-292.

DAS, A.K.; NERKAR, S.; GAWANDE, N.; THAKRE, N.; KUMAR, A. SCAR marker for *Phytophthora nicotianae* and a multiplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of *P. nicotianae* and *Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus* in citrus. **Journal** of **Applied Microbiology**, v.127, p.1172-1183, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14392.

FAWCETT, H.S.; BITANCOURT, A.A. Occurence, pathogenicity, and temperature relations of *Phytophtora* species on citrus in Brazil and other South American countries. **Citrus Research & Technology**, v.34, p.75-88, 2013.

GRAHAM, J.; FEICHTENBERGER, E. Citrus *Phytophthora* diseases: management challenges and successes. **Journal of Citrus Pathology**, v.2, p.1-11, 2015. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5070/C421027203.

GRIMMER, M.K.; FOULKES, M.J.; PAVELEY, N.D. Foliar pathogenesis and plant water relations: a review. **Journal of Experimental Botany**, v.63, p.4321-4331, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers143.

HAO, W.; MILES, T.D.; MARTIN, F.N.; BROWNE, G.T.; FÖRSTER, H.; ADASKAVEG, J.E. Temporal occurrence and niche preferences of *Phytophthora* spp. causing brown rot of citrus in the central valley of California. **Phytopathology**, v.108, p.384-391, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1094/PHYTO-09-17-0315-R.

HE, P.; SHAN, L.; SHEEN, J. Elicitation and suppression of microbe-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in plant-microbe interactions. **Cellular Microbiology**, v.9, p.1385-1396, 2007. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.00944.x.

LAMARI, L. Assess: image analysis software for plant disease quantification. St. Paul: APS Press, 2002.

LIMA, R.P.M.; CURTOLO, M.; MERFA, M.V.; CRISTOFANI-YALY, M.; MACHADO, M.A. QTLs and eQTLs mapping related to citrandarins' resistance to citrus gummosis disease. **BMC Genomics**, v.19, art.516, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4888-2.

MAUCH-MANI, B.; BACCELLI, I.; LUNA, E.; FLORS, V. Defense priming: an adaptive part of induced resistance. **Annual Review of Plant Biology**, v.68, p.485-512, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042916-041132.

MELLER, B.; KUŹNICKI, D.; ARASIMOWICZ-JELONEK, M.; DECKERT, J.; FLORYSZAK-WIECZOREK, J. Baba-primed histone modifications in potato for intergenerational resistance to *Phytophthora infestans*. Frontiers in Plant Science, v.9, art.1228, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01228.

MORAIS, A.L. de; ZUCOLOTO, M.; MALIKOUSKI, R.G.; BABOSA, D.H.S.G.; PASSOS, O.S.; ALTOÉ, M.S. Vegetative development and production of 'Tahiti' acid lime clone selections grafted on different rootstocks. **Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura**, v.42, e-585, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-29452020585.

R CORE TEAM. **R**: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2020. Available at: <a href="http://www.R-project.org/">http://www.R-project.org/</a>. Accessed on: Apr. 10 2023.

RAMIREZ-PRADO, J.S.; ABULFARAJ, A.A.; RAYAPURAM, N.; BENHAMED, M.; HIRT, H. Plant immunity: from

signaling to epigenetic control of defense. **Trends in Plant** Science, v.23, p.833-844, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tplants.2018.06.004.

RIBEIRO, L.L.O.; CUNHA, L. do S.; PEREIRA, W.C.; MONFORT, L.E.F.; ARAÚJO, F. das C.B. de; ROCHA, M.E.L.; SANTOS, A.A.R. dos. Production of citrus rootstock in the Santa Luzia do Induá community, Capitão Poço-Pará-Brazil. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International, v.31, p.1-6, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2019/v31i430078.

RODRIGUES, M.J. da S.; OLIVEIRA, E.R.M. de; GIRARDI, E.A.; LEDO, C.A. da S.; SOARES FILHO, W. dos S. Produção de mudas de citros com diferentes combinações copa e porta-enxerto em viveiro protegido. **Revista Brasileira de Fruticultura**, v.38, p.187-201, 2016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/0100-2945-284/14.

SIVIERO, A.; FURTADO, E.L.; BOAVA, L.P.; BARBASSO, D.V.; MACHADO, M.A. Avaliação de métodos de inoculação de *Phytophthora parasitica* em plântulas e plantas jovens de citros. **Fitopatologia Brasileira**, v.27, p.574-580, 2002. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-41582002000600003.

TIETEL, Z.; SRIVASTAVA, S.; FAIT, A.; TEL-ZUR, N.; CARMI, N.; RAVEH, E. Impact of scion/rootstock reciprocal effects on metabolomics of fruit juice and phloem sap in grafted *Citrus reticulata.* **PLoS ONE**, v.15, e0227192, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227192.