SISTEMAS AGROFLORESTAIS

(AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS)

MULTIPURPOSE LEGUMINOUS TREES AND SHRUBS FOR AGROFORESTRY

P.K.R. NAIR, E.C.M. FERNANDES and P.N. WANBUGU¹

ABSTRACT - An overview of the various agroforestry systems and practices that exist around the tropics and subtropics indicates that there are various ways in which farmers deliberately incorporate trees and shrubs on farm production fields. Many of the species so incorporated are legumes.

The role of woody perennials in agroforestry systems can be productive and/or protective. The former includes the production of food, fodder, fuelwood, mulch, green manure, wood and various other useful products. The most important protective functions entail the use of woody perennials for soil protection and conservation, and for enhancement of soil's productivity on a sustainable basis, especially on account of the nitrogenfixing capacity of the vast majority of them.

Legumes are not the only group of woody species that have potential role in agroforestry, but they offer by far the maximum range of choice of woody species for agroforestry in terms of their economic uses as well as ecological adaptability. In addition to the several leguminous woody species that are well known in agroforestry, there are many whose potentials have hitherto not been fully understood. An evaluation of the agroforestry potentials of a few leguminous species from the point of view of their growth characteristics, ecological adaptability, combining ability with other species and uses/ functions is presented.

The science of agroforestry is still in its infancy. There is yet nobody of knowledge on the various management aspects of these potentially promising group of plants based on systematic research. However, some trials have recently been initiated in several places around the world. ICRAF, in its capacity as an international research council with a global mandate to catalyze and promote research in agroforestry, has assembled several multipurpose leguminous trees and shrubs of agroforestry potential at the Council's recentlyestablished Field Station in Machakos, Kenya, primarly for demonstration and training purposes.

Index terms: N_2 fixation.

LEGUMINOSAS ARBÓREAS E ARBUSTIVAS DE MÚLTIPLOS USOS EM SISTEMAS AGROFLORESTAIS

RESUMO - Uma visão geral dos vários sistemas e práticas agroflorestais, que existem nas áreas tropicais e subtropicais, indica que há várias maneiras pelas quais os fazendeiros deliberadamente incorporam árvores e arbustos no sistema de produção agrícola, e muitas das espécies usadas são leguminosas.

¹ International Council for Research in Agroforestry (ICRAF), P.O. Box 30677, Nairobi, Kenya.

O papel das espécies lenhosas nos sistemas agroflorestais pode ser de produção e/ou de proteção. O primeiro inclui produção de alimento, forragem, lenha, cobertura morta, adubo verde, madeira e vários outros produtos úteis. A mais importante das funções protetoras é na conservação do solo e, também, no aumento da produtividade do solo, tendo em conta a capacidade de fixação de N₂ da vasta maioria das espécies.

As leguminosas não são as únicas espécies lenhosas com potencialidades nos sistemas agroflorestais, mas elas oferecem as mais amplas possibilidades de escolha em termos de seus usos econômicos, bem como a adaptação ecológica. Além das diversas espécies já conhecidas e usadas, existem muitas outras cujas potencialidades de uso em sistemas agroflorestais ainda não foram estudadas. Uma avaliação do potencial de uso agroflorestal de algumas espécies de leguminosas é apresentada neste trabalho, principalmente em termos das características de crescimento, adaptação ecológica, capacidade de crescimento em associação com outras espécies, bem como seus usos e funções.

O sistema agroflorestal é um campo de pesquisa ainda incipiente. Não há ainda informações advindas de pesquisa sistematizada sobre os vários aspectos de manejo dos grupos potencialmente promissores. Entretanto, alguns experimentos foram recentemente iniciados em diversas localidades ao redor do mundo. O ICRAF, na qualidade de conselho internacional, tem catalizado e promovido a pesquisa sobre os sistemas agroflorestais e reuniu, no recentemente criado campo experimental de Machakos, no Quênia, um banco de árvores e arbustos de uso potencial nos sistemas agroflorestais, com a finalidade primordial de demonstração e treinamento.

Termos para indexação: fixação de N₂.

INTRODUCTION

Agroforestry has generated rather unparalleled levels of enthusiasm in recent years among researchers, development experts, and policy planners of tropical land use systems. This euphoria about the agroforestry concept has even led to a false belief - at least in some quarters - that agroforestry is a completely new practice and even a panacea for all the defects and shortcomings of land management in the tropics. Although it is true that the scientific principles of agroforestry are only now being examined and hence understood, the practice, in some form or other, has been in existence since very early times, especially among farmers in warmer parts of the world. But these practices had hitherto been bypassed, if not neglected, by researchers and other experts and consequently have not been a part of the resourcerich farming. However, agroforestry and other integrated approaches to land use have now come to the limelight in the wake of the increasing population pressure, consequent destruction and mismanagement of forests by man in his quest for food and wood products, and the resultant environmental problems. Increasing dependance of modern agricultural technology on high-value inputs on the one hand, and the deteriorating economic situation of most of the developing countries on the other have caused a renewed awareness about the productive and protective value of trees, and the realization of the potentials of age-old conservation farming technologies. Consequently, efforts are now being made to devise the most appropriate ways to integrate the production of trees and other woody species with the production of agricultural crops and/or livestock simultaneously from the same piece of land in a sustainable manner.

296

Pesq. agropec. bras., Brasília, 19 s/n: 295-313, jun. 1984.

AGROFORESTRY

In spite of the tremendous amount of interest on agroforestry, quite a bit of confusion and ambiguity prevails as to "what is agroforestry". Various definitions have been suggested for agroforestry (see Agroforestry Systems, Vol. 1, pp.7-12, 1982). However, as opined by Nair (1983a), it is generally agreed that agroforestry represents an approach to integrated land use involving deliberate mixture or retention of trees and other woody perennials in the crop/animal production fields. Thus, it combines elements of agriculture, whether crops or animals, with elements of forestry in sustainable production pattern on the same piece of land, either simultaneously or sequentially. The objective of most agroforestry systems is to optimize the beneficial effects of interaction of the woody components with the crop and/or animal components to obtain a production pattern that, in terms of total quantity, diversity of end-products, or sustainability, is preferable to what is usually obtained from the same resources under prevailing social, ecological and economic conditions (Lundgren 1982).

The important role of agroforestry in the fragile or marginal environments has rightly been recognized (King 1979, Chandler & Spurgeon 1980) as one of its most significant potentials. The potential role of trees as components of more productive and sustainable land use systems for such environments may particularly be relevant to consider where rainfall is low and soil dessication is high, or where rapid leaching of soil nutrients degrades agricultural systems to a low level equilibrium once the natural forest vegetation is removed (Lundgren & Nair 1983). However, by saying this, the intention or implication is not to ignore or belittle the importance and feasibility of agroforestry in high-potential lands. Indeed, we may look for and expect to find indigenous agroforestry systems wherever there has been a history of population pressure and a long-standing need for efficient management of scarce resources. Thus, on both marginal and high potential land, and at different levels of population pressure, diversified agroforestry systems may especially be appropriate wherever lack of rural infrastructure or unfavourable economic environments make it imperative for risk-reducing small farmers to produce most of their basic needs directly from the land resources at their disposal (Lundgren & Raintree 1983). Agroforestry has also a special role in combating deforestation and forest destruction because the primary reason for deforestation is man's ever-increasing quest for more and more land for producing the much-needed food, and agroforestry offers possibilities for producing the food without destroying the wood (King 1980).

AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS AND LEGUMINOUS WOODY PERENNIALS

State-of-the-art

If we look at the existing land use systems keeping the broad concept of agroforestry as outlined earlier in mind, we find that several types of agroforestry systems abound around the world (Nair 1979, 1980, 1983b). The International Council for Research in Agroforestry (ICRAF) is currently undertaking a global inventory of such existing agroforestry systems and practices. Table 1, that was prepared as a basic document for the project shows a preliminary overview of the situation in the developing countries, indicating the most prominent examples found in the different regions. Though based on the existing knowledge prior to the commencement of the formal survey phase of the project, the Table shows the diversity of agroforestry systems and practices. A generalized scheme for the classification of the major forms of agroforestry and very illustrative schematic patterns have been proposed by Torres (1983a) based on the dominant role of the woody component in each system. Without going into the details, suffice it to say that there are several ways in which farmers deliberately incorporate different types of

Pesq. agropec. bras., Brasília, 19 s/n: 295-313, jun. 1984.

ne developing countries.
5
.Ē
practices
Ы
systems and
Y
agroforesti
-
f prominen
6
ome examples
۰.
Ξ.
TABLE

Prominent			Systems and practices in	Systems and practices in different geographical regions		
systems	S.E., Asia	S, Asia	Mediterranean and middle east	Eastern, central and humid west Africa	Arid and semi-arid west Africa	American tropics
1. Agro- silviculture	 Commercial trees among crops Fruit/shade trees among crops Live fences Shefterbelts Taungya Shifting cultivation systems Intercropping in planta- tion crops (rubber, oil palm, coconut) 	 Taungya Plantation crops + arable crops Commercial trees and fruit trees with crops Live fences + shelter- belts Various trees on farm- lands for productive functions Various forms of shifting cultivation Medicinal plants + agri. 	 Olive + cereals (on terraces, 'ban- quettes, 'cuvettes', etc.) Poplars along irrigation canals Trees for sand dune reclamation Huertas' - small plots irrigated crops + fruit trees Aromatic, medicinal and fruit trees with crops 	 Taungya Taungya Cacao/food crops/forest complex Plantation crops (oil palm/rubber) and root crops complex Coffee + banana Mixed perennial crops Gum arabic + millet Shifting cultivation/bush fallow systems 	 Use of trees on farm- lands for protective role (windbreaks, dune fixa- tion) Productive + protective role of trees on farms (A. albida/Leucaena + agric, crop systems) 	 Trees in perennial cach crops (coffee, cacao, tea) Trees for organic matter and mulch with annual crops Tree live fences Windbreaks and shelter- belts Trees as support for cfimbing commercial crops Taungya Shifting cultivation systems
2. Silvo- pastoral	 Pasture in forest plantation Pasture in secondary forests Commercial trees in pastures Fruit/shade trees in pasture Fodder trees Coconuts + pasture 	 Planture under trees Plantation crops + cattle grazing Fodder trees and shrubs Fruit trees and commercial trees in pastures 	 Oak forest + grazing Pig breeding and forestry Range land improvement 	1. Gum arabic + livestock 2. Plantation crops (coconut/cashew) + pasture	 Nomadic/semi-nomadic/ transhuman Sedentary livestock grazing systems/ browsing systems Fodder tree/shrub systems 	 Trees in pasture Pasture in natural regeneration forest Trees lopped for fodder Trees used for browsing

TABLE 1. Continuation	ıtinuation				· · · ·	
Description			Systems and practices in d	Systems and practices in different geographical regions		
systems	S.E. Asia	S. Asia	Mediterranean and middle east	Eastern, central and humid west Africa	Arid and semi-arid west Africa	American tropics
3. Agro-silvo- pastoral	 Crops and grazing in plantations Agri. tree crops + grazing in forest planta- tion Multipurpose trees with crops/animals Integrated farming systems with agric. plantation crops (rubber, coconut, oilpalm) 	 Plantation crops + arable crops + livestock Agric. tree crops + grazing in forest 	Range land management	Range land management 1. Coconuts/other planta- tion crops + food crops + grazing 2. Coffee + banana + dairying 3. Horticultural complex systems 4. Plough culture complex systems	 Forestry dominating (forest lands) Agriculture dominating (crop lands) Livestock dominating (crop lands) 	 Agric. plantation crops (coconut, rubber, fruit trees) with crops and pastures
4. Home gardens	Various forms of multispecies combination	 Multistorey plant canopies in humid regions Arid/semi-arid systems 	Mainly in large cities	Various forms	Various forms	Various forms
5. Others	 Silviculture in mangrove forests Agri-silvi-fishery Trees on bunds in fish breeding ponds Swidden farming Fuelwood agroforestry 	 Mixed perennial cropping Irrigation systems Various site-specific systems Fuelwood systems 	 New system in Morocco (spice plantation for erosion control) Apiculture + forestry Fruit trees in deserts Mushroom cultivation in forest 	 Pastoral systems with corral farming (high- tand/lowland interactive systems) Mixed perennial cropping 	 Oasis Irrigation systems Various site-specific systems 	Mixed perennial cropping

.

299

woody perennials in their crop/animal production fields. As an example, the results of Neunhaeuser (1983) can be cited: he and colleagues conducted a survey of land use systems in the smallholder farms of the semi-arid to sub-humid Machakos District in Kenya and identified several trees and shrubs that are used by farmers both on croplands and in the grazing land; a summary of their findings is given in Table 2. The Table shows that a good majority of the species so used are legumes. Another good example is the report of Okigibo (1977) on the use of indigenous trees and shrubs in the farming systems of West Africa.

There are also several other reports on the use of leguminous woody perennials in agroforestry systems around the world. Based on the literature survey conducted by ICRAF for the earlier-mentioned global inventory of agroforestry systems, some of the most prominent examples of leguminous and other nitrogen fixing woody perennials that are currently used in agroforestry systems in the tropics and subtropics are given in Table 3.

Role of leguminous woody perennials in agroforestry

In general, the role of woody perennials - including the leguminous ones - in agroforestry can be termed as productive and/or protective depending upon the dominant function(s) of such species.

Productive role

The productive role includes production of food, fodder, firewood and various other products from the woody perennials in agroforestry systems. One of the most promising technologies of this kind that is applicable in a wide range of situations is the hedgerow planting of appropriate woody species in crop production fields. The practice involves growing arable crops in the spaces or alleys between such hedgerows; the woody species is pruned periodically during the cropping season to prevent shading and to provide green manure to the arable crop. Promising results have been obtained from this type of studies conducted at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria (Wilson & Kang 1981), where the practice is called alley cropping. The most promising system based on those trials is Leucaena leucocephala/maize alley cropping. IITA studies showed that leucaena tops maintained maize grain yield at a reasonable level even with no nitrogen input on a low-fertility sandy Inceptisol, the nitrogen contribution by Leucaena mulch on maize grain yield being equivalent to about 100 kg/ha⁻¹ for every 10 t/ha⁻¹ of fresh prunings (Kang et al. 1981). The hedgerow cropping system offers the advantage of incorporating a woody species with arable farming system without impairing soil productivity and crop yields. The potential of nutrient (N) contribution by several candidate species of woody legumes suggests that a wide range of such species could be integrated into crop production systems. By adjusting the inter-row spacing of the woody species, mechanized equipments could be used, wherever deemed desirable, for various field operations connected with cropping. Moreover, the trees can be cut back and kept for various field operations connected with cropping. Moreover, the trees can be cut back and kept pruned during the cropping period and leaves and twigs applied to the soil as mulch and nutrient source, and bigger branches used as stakes or firewood. Research on these various aspects of hedgerow cropping system is in progress in various places around the world.

Integration of trees in crop production fields is an essential part of traditional farming systems in the dry regions also. Two typical examples are the extensive use of *Acacia albida* in the groundnut and millet producing areas of sub-Saharan Africa (Felker 1978) and the dominant role of *Prosopis cineraria* in the arid North-western parts of India (Mann & Saxena 1981).

The role of woody perennials on farmlands for producing fuelwood is another example of the Pesq. agropec. bras., Brasília, 19 s/n: 295-313, jun. 1984.

TABLE 2. Distribution of non-fruit trees according to frequency of occurrence on cropland and grazingland on smallholder farms in Machakos District, Kenya (Neunhaeuser 1983).

			Number of	households	
Tree species	t/s ¹	cro	pland	grazi	ngland
·		n.o	%	n, 9,4-1	%
Terminalia brownii	t	25 ²	41 ²	36	59
Acacia tortilis ³	t	15	25	39	64
Euphorbia tirucalli	t/s	10	16	•	-
Acacia mellifera	t	10	16	28	46
Lannea schweinfurthii	t	9	15	10	16
Lonchocarpus eriocaly	t	7	12	10	16
Rhus ternuinervis	t/s	6	10	11	11
Clerodendrum sp.	 t 	5	8	8	1:
Albizia anthelminthica	t	4	6	5	1
Eucalyptus sp.	t	4	6	-	•
Balanites aegytica	t	3	5	12	20
Lannea rivae	t	3	5	7	1
Acacia nilotica	t	3	5	7	1:
Grevillea robusta	. t	3	5	-	-
Kigelia aethiopicum	t	3	5	3	
Acacia etbaica	t	2	3	15	2
Commiphora africana	t	2	3	13	2
Acacia brevispica	t	2	3	12	2
Acacia senegal	t	2	3	5	
Acacia robusta	t	2	3	3	
Thespesia danis	t	2	3	2	
Jacaranda sp.	t	2	3	-	-
Croton megalocarpus	t	1	2	10	1
Combretum zeyheri	1 t	1	2	7	1
Combretum apiculatum	t	1	2	5	
Euphorbia candalabrum	• . t	1	2	4	
Commiphora madagascariensis	t	1	2	3	
Boscia angustifolia	t "	1	2	2	
Premna oligotricah	t	-	•	12	2
Pappea capensis	t	•	-	5	
Grewia tembensis	t	· -	-	4	
Combretum molle	$\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}$	-	-	2	
Cassia didymobotrya	• t	-	-	2	
Vanguera sp.	t	-	•	2	
Lantana camara	t	•	-	1	

¹ tree (t) or shrub (s)

² number of households and the percentage drawn from a total of 61 interviewed households

³ data apply to A, abyssiniea; they both have the same vernacular name - Kilaa (Kamba language)

productive role of the species in agroforestry. The trees and shrubs that are incorporated on the farmlands in food production systems or for soil amelioration could also provide the much-needed fuelwood. Based on a study on the woodfuel supply from trees outside the forests in the highlands of Kenya, Gelder & Poulson (1982) emphasized the importance of agroforestry and identified several woody species that are suitable for the purpose. They calculated that a 2-ha farm, with a tenth of the area under woodlot, one hedgerow protecting the outer boundary and another one surrounding the homestead, and the "usual" spread of farm trees over the remaining area, could provide enough fuelwood to meet

agroforestry.
al/su btropical
sed in tropic:
mials currently u
dy perennial
urninous woo
3. Some legn
ABLE 3

Species Dalbergia sissoo Derris indica		Maine Eco-rooo	Countrias
Dalbergia sissoo Derris indica	System/ Fractice	INIAJOF ECU-20118	SI IIINO
Derris indica	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Tropical highlands	India, Nepal
	(AS) [*] Multipurpose trees on farms	Arid/semi-arid	India
	(SP) "Cut and carry fodder prod.	Arid/semi-arid	India
Diohvsa robinoides	(AS) Livefences/shelterbelts	Humid/sub-humid	Costa Rica
Enterolohium cvclocarbum	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Humid/sub-humid	Costa Rica
Ervthrina abvssinica	(SP) Livefences/shelterbelts	Tropical highlands	Ethiopia
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Tropical highlands	Ethiopia
	(ASP) *Crop/tree/livestock mix around homesteads	Tropical highlands	Ethiopia
Ervthrina poeppiaiana	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Costa Rica
		Tropical highlands	Costa Rica
Gliricidia sepium	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Brazil, Costa Rica, Philippines
	(AS) Livefences/shelterbelts	Humid/sub-humid	Costa Rica, Java
	(AS) Tree gardens	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Panama
	(ASP) Crop/tree/livestock mix around homesteads	Humid/sub-humid	Java
loos edulis	(ASP) Crop/tree/livestock mix around homesteads	Humid/sub-humid	American tropics
Inga liniculi	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Mexico
Inca vera	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Puerto Rico, West Indies
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid	West Indies
Lespedeza bicolor	(AS) Multipurpose shrub on farmlands	Humid/sub-humid	Korea
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Korea
•	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Korea
Leucsena leucocenhala	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Nigeria, Papua N. Guinea
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Philippines
	(AS) Hedgerow (alley) cropping	Humid/sub-humid	Nigeria
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Philippines
	(ASP) Woody hedgerows for browse, mutch, green		
	manure and soil conservation	Humid/sub-humid	Philippines
Leucaena esculenta	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Mexico
Mimosa scabrella	(AS) Multipurpose trees on farmlands	Humid/sub-humid	Brazil
• .		Tropical highlands	Brazil
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid	
. :		Tropical highlands	Brazil
Parkia biglobosa	(AS) Tree gardens - Multispecies	Humid/sub-humid	Central African Repub.
	Multipurpose associations		
Acacia albida	(ASP) Crop/tree/livestock mix around homesteads	Arid/semi-arid	Ethiopia, Nîger
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	Niger, Senegal
	(SP) Multipurpose fodder trees	Arid/semi-arid	Ethiopia, Senegal
Acacia auriculiformis	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Papua New Guinea
Acacia mearnsii	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Tropical highlands	Java, Kenya
	(AS) Multipurpose trees on farmlands	Tropical highlands	Java

,

Species	System/Practice	Major Eco-zone	
Acacia senegal	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	Sudan
	(SP) Multipurpose fodder trees	Arid/semi-arid	Kenya, Sudan
	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Kenya, Sudan
Acacia seval	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	Sudan, Upper Volta
	(SP) Multipurpose fodder trees	Arid/semi-arid	Kenya, Sudan
	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Senegal, Sudan
Acacia tortilis	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	India, Kenya, Sudan
	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Kenya, Senegal, Sudan
	(SP) Multipurpose fodder trees	Arid/semi-arid	India, Kenya, Sudan
Albizia falcataria	(AS) Tree farms	Humid/sub-humid	Philippines
Albizia oummifera	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Cameroun
Albizia lebbeck	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	India
		Tropical highlands	India
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Humid/sub-humid	India
Albizia stipulata	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Tropical highlands	Nepal
Alnus acuminata	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Tropical highlands	Brazil, Costa Rica
	(SP) Shade trees in pastures	Tropical highlands	American tropics
Andira inermis	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Brazil, Costa Rica
Cajanus cajan	(AS) Multipurpose shrub on farmlands	Arid/semi-arid + Humid/	India
•		sub-humid	
	(AS) Livefences/shelterbelts	Arid/semi-arid	India
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	India
Calliandra calothyrsus	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	(AS) Multipurpose trees on farmlands	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	(ASP) Woody hedgerows for browse, mulch, green		
	manure and soil conservation	Humid/sub-humid	Indonesia
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Java
Cassia siamea	(AS) Tree gardens-multispecies	Humid/sub-humid	Cameroun
	Multipurpose species ass.		
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid, Arid/	Sudan
		semi-arid	
	(ASP) Crop/tree/livestock mix around homesteads	Humid/sub-humid	Nigeria
Ceratonia siliqua	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Middle East
Colophospermum mopane	(SP) Multipurpose fodder trees	Arid/semi-arid	Zambia, Zimbabwe
Parkia clappertoniana	(AS) Tree gardens	Humid/sub-humid	Nigeria
:	Multispecies, multipurpose		
Parkia speciosa	(AS) Tree gardens	Humid/sub-humid	Java

G
<u>ē</u>
=
9
Ē
÷
- 5
5
۲.
m
цэ;
B
2
F

Species	System/Practice	Major Eco-zone	Countries
Pithecellobium duice	(AS) Livefences/shelterbelts	Arid/semi-arid	Philippines
	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Hawaii
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Arid/semi-arid	Philippines
Prosopis africana	(AS) Tree gardens - Multipurpose	Humīd/sub-humid	Nigeria
	Mutispecies associations		
Prosopis chilensis	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Bolivia, Chile, Peru
Prosopis cineraria	(AS) Multipurpose trees on farmlands	Arid/semi-arid	India
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	India
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Arid/semi-arid	India
	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	India
Prosopis juliflora	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	Haiti
	(SP) Shade /browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Middle East
Prosopis tamarugo	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Arid/semi-arid	Chile
	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Arid/semi-arid	Chile
Pterocarpus soyauxii	(AS) Tree gardens - Multipurpose	Humid/semi _s humid	Nigeria
	Multispecies associations		
	(ASP) Crop tree livestock mix around homesteads		Nigeria
Samanea saman	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Humid/sub-humid	American tropics
	(ASP) Tree/crop/livestock mix around homesteads	Humid/sub-humid	Nigeria
Sesbania bispinosa	(AS) Multipurpose trees on farmlands	Humid/sub-humid	India, Vietnam
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid	North Pakistan
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Humid/sub-humid + Arid/	India, Pakistan
	-	semi-arid	<i>*</i>
	(ASP) Woody hedgerows for browse, mulch, green	Humid/sub-humid	India, Pakistan, Vietnam
	manure + soil conservation		
Sesbania grandiflora	(AS) Livefences/shelterbeits	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	(AS) Tree gardens	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humid/sub-humid	Malaysia
	(SP) Shade/browse trees in pastures	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	(SP) Cut and carry fodder prod.	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	(ASP) Woody hedgerows for browse mulch, green	Humid/sub-humid	Java
	manure + soil conservation	•	
	(ASP) Crop/tree/livestock mix around homesteads	Humid/sub-humid	Java
Tamarindus indica	(AS) Multipurpose trees on farmlands	Humid/sub-humid	India
Trema orientalis	(AS) Shade for commercial crops	Humis/sub-humid	Philippines
	(AS) Agroforestry fuelwood prod.	Humid/sub-humid +	Java
		Tropical highlands	

304

Pesq. agropec. bras., Brasília, 19 s/n: 295-313, jun. 1984.

* AS = Agrosilviculture; SP = Silvo-pastoral; ASP = Agro-silvo-pastoral

the fuel requirement of an average family. Several fast-growing firewood crops most of them legumes, suitable for different environmental conditions, have been identified (National Academy of Sciences 1980), and most of them combine well with conventional agricultural crops.

In the "animal agroforestry" systems, the woody component could be used either as a source of fodder to improve livestock productivity or to obtain another commodity such as fuel, fruit or timber. Based on this "productivity objective", silvopastoral systems can be grouped into browse grazing and forest/plantation grazing systems. The role of woody perennials in these systems has been reviewed excellently by Torres (1983b).

Protective role

The protective role of woody perennials in agroforestry stems from their soil improving and soil conserving functions. There are various avenues through which the leguminous woody perennials could improve and enrich soil conditions; these include fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, addition of organic matter through litterfall and dead and decaying roots, modification of soil porosity and infiltration rates leading to reduced erodibility of soil, and improving the efficiency of nutrient cycling within the soil-plant system (Nair 1983c). However, the main protective function of woody perennials is in physical conservation of the soil.

Tree planting along contours is widely recommended both to reduce runoff and protect terraces wherever such physical soil conservation measures are adopted (for example, see Wenner 1980). This soil conservation benefit of woody perennials can be conveniently exploited in agroforestry if the chosen species can provide additional benefits and outputs such as fodder, fuel, wood, food, etc. The long tradition of planting *Leucaena leucocephala* in contour hedges for erosion control and soil improvement in Southeast Asia, especially Indonesia, is a typical example. Indirect terraces are also formed when the washed-off soil is collected behind the hedges. Loppings and prunings from such hedgerow species could also provide mulch to aid in preventing sheet erosion between trees. An example of this principle being translated into practice can be found in the GTZ (Germany)-sponsored project in Nyabisindu, Rwanda (Zeuner 1981, Neumann 1983). The presence of more plant cover on the soil, either live or as mulch, also reduces the impact of raindrops on the soil and thus minimizes splash and sheet erosion. Therefore, as pointed out by Lundgren & Nair (1983), the potential role of agroforestry in soil conservation lies not only in woody perennials acting as a physical barrier against erosive forces, but also in providing mulch and/or fodder and fuelwood at the same time.

Another protective function of woody perennials in agroforestry is their role as shelterbelts and windbreaks. Use of trees and other woody perennials to protect agricultural fields from the adverse effects of wind is a wide-spread practice in many agricultural systems. The principle can be of considerable value in developing sound agroforestry technologies for areas that are prone to wind damage. Very encouraging results in this direction have, for example, been obtained at the Pakistan Forestry Research Institute, Peshawar (Sheikh & Chima 1976, Sheikh & Khalique 1982). Darnhofer (1982) examined the physical, ecological and biological considerations involved in the design of agroforestry shelterbelts and felt that the design has to be site-specific depending on large number of factors such as major components of farming systems (crops/livestock), desired pattern of windbreak (simple, multiple (successive), network system (with or without secondary hedgerows) etc.

LEGUMINOUS WOODY PERENNIALS FOR AGROFORESTRY

From the foregoing, it is evident that legumes are not the only woody species that have potential role in agroforestry. However, the family Leguminosae offers by far the maximum range of choice of woody species for agroforestry in terms of their economic uses as well as ecological adaptability. The greatest advantage attributed to the legumes is their capability for nitrogen fixation. Although legumes are not the only nitrogen fixers (others include, for example, the genera *Alnus* and tropical *Casuarina*), and all legumes are not necessary N₂-fixers, there is a general tendency albeit erroneously, to equate N₂-fixation with legumes. As pointed out by Brewbaker & Ta Wei Hu (1981), the 18,000 species of the family Leguminosae include the vast majority of important nitrogen fixing trees (NFT), many of which are in the predominantly woody subfamilies Mimosoideae (2,800 spp.) and Caesalpinioideae (2,800 spp.).

Out of the species tested by the authors, a high proportion of the mimosoids (92%) and papilionoids (94%) were able to fix nitrogen, contrasted with caesalpinioids (34%). For a detailed discussion on the role of woody legumes in agroforestry vis-a-vis N_2 -fixation, see Nair (1983c).

and the second second second second second

Plants, especially woody species, that have hitherto been very little studied may prove themselves to be very valuable for agroforestry. Prime candidates will be species that can grow well with other species, that can thrive in environments that are too harsh for most other species, that simultaneously yield several products (food, fuel, fodder), that enrich the micro-site such as by nitrogen fixation, efficient nutrient cycling or addition of organic matter to the soil through litterfall and decay. Growth habits of such species with respect to their above-found and below-ground parts will also be of considerable significance. With this long list of attributes it would be possible to prepare a check-list of characters to look for, or suggest some ideo-types of woody plants for agroforestry. Although such approaches are certainly useful in the long-term selection process, expediency demands that we look for some of these characters in the trees that are commonly found to exist in agricultural lands - either mixed with agricultural crops or otherwise retained deliberately. Several such studies have recently been initiated in various places (G. Poulson 1981: personal communication - about 100 woody species that are potentially suitable for agroforestry in Kenya; National Academy of Sciences 1975, 1980; Hecht 1982, etc.). However, such studies are often not necessarily limited to legumes, although legumes dominate all the lists, as mentioned earlier. Some such studies are also being undertaken exclusively on economically important nitrogen fixing tree species (for example, Vergara 1982, Brewbaker et al. 1983).

A summary of characteristics and descriptions of a few woody leguminous species having potential role in different agroforestry systems under various ecological conditions is compiled in Table 4 as an indicative example. The possible uses and functions of the species are also indicated in the Table. This Table along with the previous one (Table 3) gives a good indication of the potential role of woody leguminous species in agroforestry systems under diverse ecological conditions.

In addition to the trees and shrubs on which such information is available, there are also many other species which have not thus far been studied. Undoubtedly, one of the most promising opportunities in agroforestry lies in tapping this hitherto unexploited potentials of this large number of multipurpose trees and shrubs.

ICRAF'S FIELD TRIALS ON LEGUMINOUS TREES AND SHRUBS FOR AGROFORESTRY

There is an understandable but unfortunate tendency in the present "enthusiasm and awareness" stage of agroforestry development to exaggerate the supposed benefits of agroforestry systems and

Manuality (a) Contract (a) Contract (a	÷L		ļ	1		ļ			poi	1	Ecot	Ecological adaptability	dept	bility													-	-	Menugement aspects	apects				
Markation A markat	oZ Moigoloo3 v	Species				{"['\$'\$'\$'w]				MRA AND FUSIOU			3		dition	_			Crow	te E	-	8	adaliste.	1 m		÷.	Deeg S	ų		ð	_	Coppleting	Assilat	
Α κυναί 1000 2001 611 Βουλο κατά ματά 1000 2001 1000 2001 1000 2001 1000 2001 1000 2001 1000 2001 <td>ojeM</td> <td>Acacia albi</td> <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>1,20 2,00</td> <td>E</td> <td>n 4</td> <td>88</td> <td>₩ ♥ </td> <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>alybra Jerano</td> <td>N AL</td> <td>ni dra Linky</td> <td>P.</td> <td>oile, G</td> <td>B</td> <td>F</td> <td>8</td> <td>Е. 8</td> <td></td> <td>See 2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>tot wa</td> <td>ter (80 24 ho</td> <td>ភ្លិន</td> <td>N.</td> <td>ding.</td> <td></td> <td>ð</td> <td>76</td> <td>berflens in Lins</td>	oj e M	Acacia albi	8		1,20 2,00	E	n 4	88	₩ ♥ 		<u> </u>	alybra Jerano	N AL	ni dra Linky	P.	oile, G	B	F	8	Е. 8		See 2				tot wa	ter (80 24 ho	ភ្លិន	N.	ding.		ð	76	berflens in Lins
A units (a) (a) (b) (a) (b) (b) (a) (b) (b) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b		A, seriope			a 1 8 0	E	∾ &	88	-	Ę	2 A	andy to		jų s	a line Logistica Logistica	PH 5			19	15 n		J	tings	•		HOT W	24 B) 24 B)	្តិដ	ł	đing		poo		rowse sceptible
Reserves (100 2000 (1-1) Presentation (1-1) Presentation (1-1) <td></td> <td>A tortilė</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>5 th 2 th 2 th</td> <td>Ē</td> <td></td> <td>88</td> <td>2</td> <td>. 12</td> <td>× 8</td> <td>Italine</td> <td>1</td> <td>vinit.</td> <td>noite.</td> <td>Good</td> <td></td> <td>+ +</td> <td>19 E</td> <td>de la</td> <td></td> <td>See</td> <td>lings</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Hotwa</td> <td>1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2</td> <td>្តិដ</td> <td>Į</td> <td>ding</td> <td></td> <td>poot</td> <td></td> <td>ruchids ent eds</td>		A tortilė			5 th 2 th 2 th	Ē		8 8	2	. 12	× 8	Italine	1	vinit.	noite.	Good		+ +	19 E	de la		See	lings			Hotwa	1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	្តិដ	Į	ding		poot		ruchids ent eds
Chronomic Lange 2001 5.0 1 Contraction Distribution Distrestribution Distribution Dist		Albizia Jabi	. Toward		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Ê	9 G	88	•		. 3	al leri	¥ini	nio b	3 1	10	erandi		Ten 20 Yest	E DE I	Ę	Dia 1001	ct seed	antin		Boiling pool &	Total and	4 Fr	Į.	ding		Pool	•	rowne seseptible
Сила мала Сила мала Си	rid.	Cejanur cej	.5		10,00	Ē	4 15 N	<u></u> 88				interest	20- K	oils an aterio	d loar	ę.	5	ű		¥-1		<u>c</u> io	ct seed	ŝ		Non	14 4 0	ŧ	1	ding fe 4.6 w		900d 0.15		hade intolerant
Солбания были политический полит	-#1145/	Carsie siern	8		1.20 20 20	, Ē	<u>م</u> م	88	•			lot tol tor tol	its ind	Ending At 01 1	i limer aterio	tone d	in the second	_	Fine 15	-20 m		ē.	panet 13	ŝ		Hot we	8	ç	Ţ,	ding fe 2 veer	* *	pool		rowae sceptible
Примими былов Солонании Констрантија Констранција	Arid	Cordenuxia	e edulis		88	. E	й и 	ģ8	¥	-12		andy a	0 14 0 1 0 1	H 7-8	19 19 19	2004 V			1	Ē		Dire		ţ		None. riebitt	Short:	Ĩ						roat maitine
Financial Columnation 2001. (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b		Pithecellob	ium dul	3	3 2	۶.	* 2	88	•			los sol Mereno	is ind	linity	cley.	Cool Feature	, and the second se		1	£ 23	-	Seed	ings o			No.	6 mon Ibility	Ē	N N	000 A	t i	A Law		vects ent eds
Productionant Producti Productionant Productionant Productionant Productiona		Prosopii ch	ile rais		2,90	58	N 26	88		÷.		linity	ŝ	od to	, and the second se	8		. F	90 10	E St-		J	i i			Hot w	82 17	Ĵ,		A BER	_	~	u 4	rost rsceptible
Column District Column Dis		Prosopis cli	ana a		30,	Ē		× 8	÷	9-10		andy/r	ocky I and w	eterlo.	Toter		ŝ	-0		E G		See	Hings o	K root		Sorti Martin	d e b	prillio	-	d toler		pool		olerates ight frost
Chipment			<u>ت</u> قى :::	celler		1	!											unctio	50,7W	T														
 					Food				P.	ц.				Ŵ	8		<u> </u>				Service					ļ		Minor						
• • • • Outrest Contracted to the second Contracted to the second to the second Contracted to the second to the second Contracted			\vdash	-	\vdash			1									!			<u> </u>	<u> </u>							-				· · -	ş	er Romarks
America efficie -							(S) moios	•	sbee2/sbo9/triun3	strood S	See forage	boow leaf	Charcoal			nedmit nwea	5.041O		-· · ·		· · ·			Citrare	99×9M		(0) ****0	· · · ·			Droemental	#410		
A. monopole - <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>rie ethicle</td><td></td><td>+</td><td></td><td>\vdash</td><td></td><td>:</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.</td><td>•</td><td>1</td><td>\vdash</td><td>+</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td> :</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>E.</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td>Combine 2001</td><td>s well with agric.</td></td<>	1	rie ethicle		+		\vdash		:	•					1.	•	1	\vdash	+	•	•	:	-				1	E.		-		•		Combine 2001	s well with agric.
A. for childing A. for	•	and a second	-	•				:				:	:						·								-		•				rields gu	m arabic
Albisis indocess -	4	nuin						:		•	:	:	:	:	:		<u> </u>		<u>.</u>									•• ••			•	> 4	Widely u	ed for livestock mite relictant
Chinar asian Chinar asian Carrier	4.6	its in teleformet						:		•	:	:		•		:			•	•	:	•	_								•	-	"Inst grow	eing
Cate iskness Cate iskness Cate iskness Categorianis Categorianis edutis		anun cajan			•			٠	:	٠		•							•	,	:	:						•			•	~	Sericultu	re/shellac
Converses a		aia nikriwe						•	•	•		:				:		:	•	:		:					ā					_	/ery tox	ic to pigs
Pitneesilobium . Outes . O		rdeeux ie Viz		:	:			:											•		٠											w .	ubsister	ce food
		hecellabium Ce			•			:			:	•		•		•			:								E.				•		Treascald took fee	d d
	5	adon	-					•	•		•	•		:					•		•											-	Ligh bio	mass yielder
	•	inersie						:			•	:	:	•	•				•														Combine Decise	s well with agric.

TABLE 4a. Characteristics and uses of notes leguminums and other aitrogra fixing woody permutuls with agroforentry potential.

-	1	-		-			· •••		Coolog	icel e	depte	bility						T				T						. 1	Manaer	ement		c14		-		
Major Ecological Zona	Species				Althurde		Rainfalt tanga		Maximum dry period	ĩ			Boil a	endit	tione				Cre	own to	6741	-	En	abi leta	ment			Beed stroop				Can				Opecies featuros
_	Acacia auri	culific	ormia	+	up to 600	m	1,000		٥.				age (no ing typi			nium i	bnd	,	ires, 1	30 m			Direct		nd :			ng wet for 24	ar & hour		Meed	ne		- -	or	Shallow roots
	Calliendra d	as lot	a nana		up 10 1,500		1,000	, I	3-	4	w	de rer	ge of e to fie	wik.	Very			s	hrub	, B-10	m	- {	Dieset Medile	modia	ng or	1 1	folle	ng wet 24 ho	ur ik		Good Io we	toleri ede	9008		, pellent	1-2 year rotations
	Casuarina a	qu ise	tifoli		up to 1,500	m	200 5,000		6.	8	144 146	ast soi Unity (is exce and we	ipt ci itarlo	ey. Te opine	oleran	1 10	1	iree, i	30-80	rti	1	ieediir	nge -			Ant n Hed	opella	nt on		Needi		•	· ==	67	N-fixing Actinomyoste
¥	Derrie India	*			ыр to 1,200	m	500 2,600		4-	6	64 90	iost soi Iost ios	is inch erance	ading to p) filme Hinity	ntone. 7	Very		'ree, i Jecidi	5-8 m uqua			Direct Sutting		w/	·	Nons				Bood Io ne	toler: ede	in all	80	od .	Shada tolarant
hhun	Gliricidie se	ріна	0		up 16 1,800	m	2,300	,	2-	9	34. 01	oist de kaliné	dry e	olis er	-	hen ve	ry.			6-10 e Grown			Seedili Arge o				1 508	k 24 I	(80 ⁴ C			7		-	· bo	Deciduous
Humid/sub-frun	Lauceana k	Recor	apha.	"	loest b loev 51		250 1,700) (6.	6	P •	lor toi	érrense	to vi	erteric	ı (< pi ogging	H 64.	1	hrub	/u ee ,	8-20		Direct or see:		ng ·				teo ^o c I hour		Need	ing .		60	bo	Front sensitive
I	Mimous ace	brelli			up to 2,400	m	1,000	>	7				il drai e to w					1	hrub	/tree 1	0-12	m	Direct	8000ji (-			,			_	7			,	3-4 year rotations
	Semenee se	man			що te 1,000 що te		600 2,50 550	0	6 -	•			nge of					1	Spree	30-40 ding a		4	Seedlik	198			Feed	pods :	te ant	. 1	b0 ++4				,	Shellow roots
	Sesbanie bi				цр tө 1,200 цр tө		1,100	2	5-	-		ketinit	y, eelii	sity 4	w bre	leranso eterioj d toler	ging			illiop, I	• ••		Direct Direct				None			1	10 W	ede	tolerer		-	An annual
_	Sector le gr	andii 1	//ors		800	m	2,000		2-	3			toggin		3000				ľr 44 ,	10 m			oodik				Nomi			1	Little	mein	tenend	* 00	od	Frost sensitive
			Fair Goo Exc	d ellent	bool	r			Foc	lder				w	ood			Funct	kans/	U 1899	Ser	vices				<u> </u>			M	iecellar		1				Other Remarks
	Species	Fruits/Pocts	Mutu/Seeds	Veperative	Oile/Fars	Starth Starth	Spicer (S) Condiment (C)	Lative	Fruit/Pods/Seeds	Shoots	then thorage	Fuel wood	Charton	Building meterlet	Nood for utenets A tools	Samon timber	Others	Windbrank	Live Sense	Soli conservition	Dune fizing	N Fizing	Organic manura	Muith	Cohers	-	Essential oits	Tanvina (T) Over (D)	Gum	Fibres .	Medicinal/Oruga	Cultural, ritural, andial	Ornamental	Other		
	Acacie auriculiformie Celliandra calothyraux Casuerine equisetifolia							**			•			••			pulp pulp			•• •= ••	:	•• ••	••						•				•		ey## Com spec Fire	sensitive
Humid/sub-humid	Derris Indica Gibicidia sepium Lauceana leucocaphala Mimosa acabraila Semenea semen							••				•• •• ••		•			pulp puip		•••	••	••	•	 				•			•	•				Coff Corr spec Coff	cticide properties les/cocce shads whites well with spric, les fee shade we shade
	Semenas arman Semenas Dispinces Semenia grandificara											•					pulp pulp			••		••				-		(TT) •		••					Corr	with agric, ies where well with agric,

•

TABLE 46. Characteristics and uses of some leguminous and other nitrogue fixing woody paramaials with agroformity potential.

									Ecok	ogical	adapt	ability																N	enege	mini	4804C	13				
Mejor Ecological Zone	Species			4	(1144		Rainfall range Ismulvel		Meximum dry period	Î,			Soil a	onditi	ons				Crow	n form			Establ	linteri	en1		tre	Seed				Care			Compicing	Special features
	Acecie mean	aii			о to 600 m		600 1,200	·	4-4	,		lu rengi					4	Tri	H 10	25 m		í	ect ee				mmer verber	e in	bailin		3ood i		nce	•	poor	Mod. from talement
	Albizia știpul	410			000 - 000 m		500 2,500	•	3-6	•		ii adapi ilow so		Inter	tile or	đ		Tre	ne 15	i-20 m			dling) ot cu			*	ione			1	Needia	4		1	bood	Highlands - Nepali
İ	Ainus ecumin	eter		1.1	200 - 200 гг		1,000 3,000	•	3 - 6	,		li drain e on gr						Tr	ee 15	i-40 m			dlingi tings	s or n	oct		ione. eed vi			1	Needin	ng i			pood	Actinomycete Frankla sp.
	A, nepelensis				300 - 000 m	.	500 2,500	•	3-1	,		të rangi i toamy			diuvis	l loam	4	Tr	ee 30)- 4 0 m		See	dling	#/cuti	lings	·	lone				Good to wee		008	ľ	fair	Shade tolerant
the function of the second sec	Casuarine oli	gado	n		ь to ,300 m		700 4,000		6-1	•	Ma	et aciji	урн					Tn	ee 20)-35 m		SH	dling	•		1	Ant re	peller	nt.	ľ	Needi	ng			?	Mod. frast tolerant
Tropical highlands	Erythrine po	eppig	nia na		oto 000 m		1,000 3,000	•	3-1	5	Мо	et solls	; dry 1	10	t					1-15 m		54	idling	wcut	tings			7				,			çood	
Ě	Gieditsie trie	centi	101		р tes ,500 л	.	800 2,500		a - 1	8		et soils clay	; ecid	to all	kaline;	, tendy	,		ee to poed	45 m	flat	See	dling	s/cut	tingt		mmer Neter				Good to we		109		good	Frost tolerant
	Inge jinkall				р 10 ,400 л	.	500 3,000		5 • 6	•		et soile						T 1	es 10)-20 m		1	dling			•	ihort	Need -	deb (li	·	_	?		ŀ	good	Termite susceptible
	Lespeders bi	color	•	2	p te ,000 m		500 2,500	1	3 · I	6	rea	et type Iky soil	1					Sh	rub 3	2-3 m		Dir	ent an clingi	ending 6	g or		None		-8-		Good	rcha			good	Korean species
	Trama orient	tella			p te ,000 m		1,000 3,000		3.4	4		de reng ertile e		uding	denu	ded		Tr	es 10	2-15 m		50	gnith	wcut	tings		Refrig ior 3				Good to we				good	Shade intolerant
5	pocles	••	Fair Good Exce	diant	Olia/Fat	Starth	Spices (S) Condiment (C)		Fruit/Pods/Seeds	dider stoogs	Berr Horage	Fuel wood	Charcost	article and	Wood for utensils & tools	Servin timber	Others	Windbreaut	Live fence	Sold contervation	Dura fiscing	li fizien	Organic manure	Mulch	Others	Wit rold	Etternical alls	Timorina (T)			Mercial net / Dr ugg	tocial			Other .	Qther Pernsi ki
Tropical highlands	Açacie mescali Albisie stipulata Alnus angalensis Casuerina olgodon Erythrine poepojena							•					••	••		•	pulp			•••• ••• ••		••	•••	-				E:	•					•		Combunes well with agric genetics Coffserises sharede Pesture trees Outgrows and suppresses Outgrows weeds Comman fuelwood in Pa pus New Guines Coffse shads
4	Gladitele triacanthos Inge Jiniculi Lespedese bicolor	ŀ							 			•• • ••		 		.				 		 	••										-			Could become a wood Colfee shada Nurse/failow species
	Trame orientalis											••	••	•			pulp					, 1														Caceo/coffee shada

etry potential. TABLE 4c. Characteristics and uses of some leguminous and other nitrogen fixing woody per ials with agroi

,

		Number of plants	1. Height (m)	2. Crown diameter (m)	3. Stem diameter (cm) (±S.D.)	וובובו ורווול ו- היהיל
opecies	Late of planing	measured	(±S.D.)	(±S.D.)	At base	1 m above ground
Acacia albida	28.10.81	45	1.77 (0.62)	1.24 (0.45)	4.09 (1.78)	2.12 (1.24)
Acacia cvanophylla	9.11.81	12	3.00 (0.62)	2.39 (0.51)	8.61 (1.88)	3.99 (1.48)
Acacia nilotica	9.11,81	12	1.66 (0.34)	2.57 (0.39)	6.46 (1.09)	1.87 (0.96)
Atriplex nummularia	30,10,81	104	1.95 (0.44)	1.22 (0.39)	٠	•
Cassia alata	14.11.81	œ	1.74 (0.67)	1.62 (0.41)	3.82 (1.63)	2.17 (1.43)
Cassia siamea	7.12.81	12	2.28 (0.46)	2.05 (0.42)	7.23 (1.42)	2.25 (0.70)
Casuarina equisetifolia	5,04.82	œ	1.52 (0.46)	0.66 (0.23)	1.94 (0.83)	0.61 (0.40)
Ervthrina abyssinica	11.12.82	12	0.82 (0.34)	1.09 (0.28)	4.36 (0.94)	•
Leucaena leucocephala (Cunn.)	9.11.81	12	2.40 (0.26)	2.03 (0.31)	6.78 (1.36)	2.93 (0.93)
Leucaena leucocephala (K8)	12.11.81	66	3.02 (0.59)	2.29 (0.42)	6.95 (1.14)	4.70 (1.15)
Leucaena leucocephala (Peru)	13.11.81	36	2.55 (0.52)	2.02 (0.40)	6.09 (1.19)	3.86 (1.09)
Parkinsonia aculeata	29.10.81	11	1.74 (0.23)	2.51 (0.25)	5.12 (0.40)	2.19 (0.38)
Prosopis juliflora	27.10.81	20	2.29 (0.53)	1.92 (0.55)	4.98 (1.29)	1.74 (0.78)
Prosopis palida	29.10.81	6	2.00 (0.37)	1.77 (0.59)	3.45 (0.94)	1.49 (0.70)
Sesbania grandiflora	19.04.82	42	2.40 (0.44)	1.61 (0.41)	10.15 (1.65)	3.83 (0.93)

TABLE 5. Some growth characteristics of a few multipurpose leguminous woody perennials at ICRAF's Field Station, Machakos, Kenya.

Pesq. agropec. bra ., Brasilia, 19 s/n: 295-313, jun. 1984.

components such as multipurpose trees and shrubs. In fact, these benefits have to be achieved through development of sound technologies based on research, rather than being merely ascribed to any land use practice that can fit itself into the broad definition and concept of agroforestry, or any tree or shrub that can be considered to be having a potential role in agroforestry. But in addition to the difficulty caused by the lack of adequate quantitative information on agroforestry systems and its components, there is also the problem of non-existence of appropriate methods to assess their suggested advantages and potentials in comparison with other systems and components. Therefore, most of the development programmes on agroforestry are, necessarily, based on rather ad-hoc, intuitive, and trial-and-error approach. But it is evident that if the enthusiasm on agroforestry is to be harnessed, and if the suggested advantages are to be exploited to any discernible extent, it is necessary that the gaps in our knowledge are bridged.

Though the primary objective of assembling the plants on ICRAF's Field Station is to aid in the Council's demonstration and training activities, the results are also of immense value from the point of view of their suitability for agroforestry in similar conditions elsewhere. Moreover, it is hoped that the plants will be made use of for developing methodologies for evaluating multipurpose trees and shrubs for agroforestry. About 35 species of multipurpose trees have been planted on the station starting from October 1981. Growth characteristics of a few leguminous ones among them are presented in Table 5.

CONCLUSIONS

It has been well recognized that agroforestry is a sound approach to land management in certain situations, and that multipurpose leguminous trees and shrubs offer a group of most promising species for agroforestry systems. However, various gaps exist in our knowledge on these plants: uniformity of genetic stock, plant arrangement and management in agroforestry systems, their compatibility with agricultural crops, improvement of plant architecture, etc. are important issues that need to be tackled by systematic research. It is our hope that this symposium will provide the necessary impetus for accelerating research endeavours on these aspects.

REFERENCES

- BREWBAKER, J.L.; HALLIDAY, J. & LYMAN, J. Economically important nitrogen fixing tree species. In:NITROGEN fixing tree research reports. 1983. v.1, p.35-40.
- BREWBAKER, J.L. & TA WEI HU. Nitrogen fixing trees of importance in the tropics. s.l., s.ed., 1981. Paper for the US National Academy of Sciences Workshop, September, 1981.

CHANDLER, T.M. & SPURGEON, D., eds. International cooperation in agroforestry. Nairobi, ICRAF/DSE. 1980. 469p.

- DARNHOFER, T. Shelterbelts: some remarks on the micro-climate effects and design considerations on shelterbelts. Nairobi, ICRAF, 1982. 16p. Mimeografado.
- FELKER, P. State of the art: Acacia albida as a complementary permanent intercrop with annual crops. Riverside, University of California, 1978. 143p.
- GELDER, B. van & POULSON, G. The woodfuel supply from trees outside the forests in the highlands of Kenya; report of a study for the Beijer Institute. Nairobi, Beijer Institute, 1982.

- KANG, B.T.; WILSON, G.F. & SIPKENS, L. Alley cropping maize and Leucaena (Leucaena leucocephala LAM) in southern Nigeria. Plant and Soil, 63:165-79, 1981.
- KING, K.F.S. Agroforestry and the development of tropical forestry. Nairobi, UNEP, 1980. UNEP Expert Meeting on Tropical Forestry.
- KING, K.F.S. Agroforestry and the utilization of fragile ecosystems. For. Ecol. Manage., 2:161-8, 1979.
- LUNDGREN, B. Introduction. Agroforestry Systems, 1:3-6, 1982.
- LUNDGREN, B. & NAIR, P.K.R. Agroforestry for soil conservation. s.1., s.ed., 1983. Paper presented at the Second International Conference on Soil Erosion and Conservation, January 16-22, Honolulu, Hawaii (Proc. in press).
- LUNDGREN, B. & RAINTREE, J.B. Agroforestry. In: NESTEL, B., ed. Agricultural research for development; potentials and challenges in Asia. The Hague, ISNAR, 1983. p.37-49.
- MANN, H.S. & SAXENA, S.K., eds. Khejri (Prosopis cineraria) in the Indian Desert. Jodhpur, India, Central Arid Zone Res. Inst., 1981. 77p. (CAZRI Monograph, 11).
- NAIR, P.K.R. Agroforestry species: a crop sheets manual. Nairobi, ICRAF, 1980. 336p.
- NAIR, P.K.R. Intensive multiple cropping with coconuts in India: principles, programme and prospects. Berlin, Verlag Paul Parey, 1979. 149p.
- NAIR, P.K.R. Multiple land use and agroforestry. In: BETTER crops for food: CIBA foundation symposium 97. London, Pitman Books. 1983a. p.101-15.
- NAIR, P.K.R. Soil productivity aspects of agroforestry. Nairobi, ICRAF, 1983c. (Science and Practice of Agroforestry, 1). (In press).
- NAIR, P.K.R. Tree integration on farmlands for sustained productivity of smallholdings. In: INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON RESOURCE-CONSERVING ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND AGRICULTURAL ALTERNA-TIVES, 4. Proceedings...New York, Praeger, 1983b. (In press).
- NEUNHAEUSER, P. Appropriate land use systems for smallholder farms: a survey of ecological and socio-economic conditions in the Machakos District (Kenya). Berlin, SLE, Tech. Univ., 1983. 187p. (Studien Reihe IV/39).
- NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, Washington, EUA. Firewood crops: shrub and tree species for energy production. Washington, D.C. 1980. 237p.
- NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, Washington, EUA. Underexploited tropical plants with promising economic value. Washington, D.C., 1975. 189p.
- NEUMANN, I. The use of trees in smallholder agriculture in tropical highland areas. In: INTERNATIONAL CONFER-ENCE OF IFOAM, M.I.T., 4, Boston, 1982. Proceedings . . . New York, Praeger, 1983.
- OKIGBO, B.N. Neglected plants of horticultural importance in traditional farming systems of tropical Africa. Acta Hortic., 53:131-50, 1977.
- SHEIKH, M.I. & CHIMA, A.M. Effect of windbreaks (tree rows) on the yield of wheat crop. Pak. J. For., 26(1):38-47, 1976.
- SHEIKH, M.I. & KHALIQUE, A. Effect of tree belts on the yield of agricultural crops. Pak. J. For., 32:21-3, 1982.

Pesq. agropec. bras., Brasília, 19 s/n: 295-313, jun. 1984.

- TORRES, F. Agroforestry: concepts and practices. In: HOEKSTRA, D.A. & KUGURU, F.M., eds. Agroforestry systems for small-scale farmers. Nairobi, ICRAF/BAT, 1983a. p.27-42.
- TORRES, F. Role of woody perennials in animal agroforestry. Agroforestry Systems, 1:131-63, 1983b.
- VERGARA, N.T. New directions in agroforestry: the potential of tropical tree legumes Parts I and II. Honolulu, Hawaii, Environment and Policy Institute, East-West Center, 1982.
- WENNER, C.G. Soil conservation in Kenya. Nairobi, Ministry of Agriculture, 1980. 191p.
- WILSON, G.F. & KANG, B.T. Developing stable and productive biological cropping system for the humid tropics. In: STONEHOUSE, B., ed. Biological husbandry: a scientific approach to organic farming. London, Butterworth, 1981. p.193-203.
- ZEUNER, T.H. An ecological approach to farming: some experience of the agro-pastoral project, Nyabisindu, Rwanda. In: KENYA NATIONAL SEMINAR ON AGROFORESTRY. Proceedings ... Nairobi, ICRAF, 1981. p.329-35.