Performance of soybean in hydromorphic and nonhydromorphic soil under irrigated or rainfed conditions
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Abstract – The objective of this work was to evaluate soybean cultivation in a hydromorphic or nonhydromorphic soil, with or without supplemental irrigation. Field experiments were carried out with the TECIRGA 6070RR and A 6411RG cultivars in highlands (nonhydromorphic soils) and lowlands (hydromorphic soils), which are traditionally cultivated with irrigated rice. The following parameters were determined in both soybean cultivars: leaf area index, developmental stages, dry matter partition, and leaf gas exchange. Low water stresses, which commonly occur either by deficit or excess in soybean areas cultivated in hydromorphic soils, do not affect the development and partitioning of dry matter; however, they cause reductions in stomatal conductance, photosynthetic rate, and leaf area evolution. Growing soybean in lowlands exposes plants to water stress, even in years with well-distributed rainfall during the growing season, due to the low water storage capacity of these soils.
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Introduction

One of the first attempts to grow soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] on hydromorphic soils in Southern Brazil began in the mid-twentieth century (Bernardes, 1946). However, only in the last decade there has been an expressive expansion of areas under soybean in the southern half of Rio Grande do Sul state, which have been traditionally used for irrigated rice as a monoculture, and for extensive livestock farming (Vernetti Junior et al., 2009). The soybean area cultivated in rotation with irrigated rice in Rio Grande do Sul increased and consolidated in nearly 280 thousand hectares, due to the improvement of management practices, and the development of tolerant cultivars to soil water excess (Zanon et al., 2015; Irga, 2016).

Soils traditionally grown with soybean in Brazilian highlands are of a good natural drainage, that is, they are nonhydromorphic soils (Miyasaka & Medina, 1981). In addition, soils cultivated with irrigated rice in Rio Grande do Sul are predominantly Planosols,
Gleysols, and the association between them (Thomas & Lange, 2014); they are characterized by being hydromorphic soils with poor natural drainage, due to the flat low lands, soil profile with shallow surface layer, and almost impermeable subsurface layer (Streck et al., 2008).

Conventional tillage with successive plowing and harrowing causes these lowland soils to show great physical limitations, such as aggregate disintegration and reduced macroporosity (Sartori et al., 2016). Therefore, when growing soybean in these areas, water excess and deficit may be more frequent than in nonhydromorphic soils (Thomas & Lange, 2014; Sartori et al., 2016). These water stress periods can result in metabolic changes that directly affect the plant energy balance, and cause a reduction of potential crop productivity (Cornelious et al., 2006).

Knowing genotype response to water stress – deficit, or excess – is important for cultivar selection and recommendation, besides determining well-adapted techniques to grow soybean in hydromorphic soils, with the aim to express productivity potential (Thomas & Lange, 2014). If, on the one hand, rotating soybean crops with irrigated rice have increased recently, on the other hand, there are few studies on the development and productivity of the used soybean cultivars in hydromorphic soils in Brazil (Zanon et al., 2015, 2016; Sartori et al., 2016), Uruguay (Chebataroff et al., 2002; Castillo et al., 2013), and Argentina (Caride et al., 2011). These few studies do not completely explain the differences of the ecophysiological processes (photosynthetic rate, dry matter partition), and the development of soybean cultivated in hydromorphic and nonhydromorphic soils in an integrated way. This approach may help soybean breeding programs to develop cultivars for cultivation in hydromorphic soils, and to improve management practices to reduce water stress in soybean cultivars currently used in rotation with irrigated rice, in order to assist extensionists, consultants, and farmers in decision-making.

The objective of this work was to evaluate soybean crop in a hydromorphic or nonhydromorphic soil, with or without supplemental irrigation.

**Materials and Methods**

Field experiments were carried out with soybean during the 2014/2015 growing season, in Cachoeirinha (29°56’S, 51°07’W, at 10 m altitude), and in Santa Maria (29°43’S, 53°43’W, at 95 m altitude), both in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The soil in Cachoeirinha is a Gleissolo Háplico Ta distrófico típico (Streck et al., 2008) – Typic Albaqualf, which represents the hydromorphic soils cultivated with irrigated rice in Southern Brazil. In Santa Maria, the soil is an Argissolo Vermelho distrófico arênico (Streck et al., 2008) – Typic Hapludalf, with 7% slope and good drainage conditions, which represents the conditions of soybean crops in nonhydromorphic soils in Rio Grande do Sul.

Undisturbed samples were collected before sowing, to determine the soil physical characteristics (bulk density, macro- and microporosity, and compacted layer), and to define the homogeneous layers in each profile. In the two sites, climate is a Cfa – subtropical humid with hot summers – according to the classification of Köppen-Geiger, with an undefined dry season.

Crop management practices included base fertilization, inoculation of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains in seed, seed treatment with fungicide and insecticide, and control of weeds, pests, and diseases. Soybean cultivars used were TECIRGA 6070RR and A 6411RG of relative maturity groups (RMG) of 6.3 and 6.4, which show indeterminate and determinate growth types, respectively. These cultivars were selected because they show similar RMGs, they are widely cultivated in the state of Rio Grande do Sul for having different growth types and, mainly, because 'TECIRGA 6070RR' has a higher tolerance to soil water excess, in comparison to other commercial cultivars (Lange et al., 2013). Sowing was performed at 0.05 m depth on 10/24/2014, with an experimental sowing machine, at 0.5 m spacing between rows, and density of 30 plants m⁻², in both sites.

In Cachoeirinha, a randomized complete block experimental design was carried out with three replicates, in a split-plot, two-factorial design, in which the main plot consisted of the cultivars, and the subplots of water availability, that is, with or without supplementary irrigation. The experimental unit consisted of 26 plant rows with 10 m long, and irrigation was done by furrows. This experimental arrangement was used to better represent irrigation conditions and methods of soybean cultivation in rotation with irrigated rice, in the hydromorphic soils in Rio Grande do Sul. Soil water content was monitored by water balance calculation up to flowering. From this period
on, the subsurface water potential was monitored with porous capsule tensiometers at 10 cm depth. Four irrigations were carried out during the development cycle when water potential reached -40 kPa. In addition, 30 and 27 mm of water were applied at the R1 stage on 12/9/2014 and 12/19/2014, respectively; 30 mm at R3, on 1/7/2015; and 15 mm at R5, on 2/6/2015. Water depth in each plot was measured with a hydrometer and applied until irrigation furrows were filled with water.

In Santa Maria, a randomized complete block design was used, with five replicates, in a split-plot, two-factorial arrangement. Water availability consisted of the main plots (with or without supplementary irrigation), and the soybean cultivars’ TECIRGA 6070RR and A 6411RG, the subplots. The experimental unit consisted of four plant rows with 3 m length. Irrigation was performed by a drip system, based on the calculation of the soil water balance, and the water depth applied was measured with a hydrometer to maintain the available water capacity (AWC) between 60–100%, considering that the root system depth varies throughout the growing season. Eight irrigation events were provided, applying the following water depths: 35 mm at V3, on 11/15/2014; 17 mm at V4, on 11/19/2014; 22 mm at V6, on 11/28/2014; 11 mm at R4, on 2/4/2015; 19, 16, and 24 mm at R5, on 2/6/2015, 2/9/2015, and 2/19/2015, respectively; and 10 mm at R6, on 3/8/2015.

Meteorological data were obtained from an automatic weather station located about 200 m from the experiments. The water balance model of Thornthwaite & Mather (1955) was applied to calculate daily soil water balance. The Penman-Monteith approach was used (Allen et al., 1998) for the estimation of potential evapotranspiration (ETo). The crop coefficient (Kc) along the soybean development cycle were calculated by linear interpolation between the values reported by Berlato et al. (1986). For the V3, V10, and R5 stages, trenches of 80 cm in length by 50 cm depth were opened in the borders of each plot, and 10 plants were evaluated to follow the root system deepening and the layer with the highest concentration of roots.

Plant emergence date was considered when 50% of plants had cotyledons above the ground. Following the appearance of the first pair of unifoliate leaves, five plants located in the central row of each plot were marked with colored wires, which were evaluated every two days to identify the stages R1, R5, R6, R7, and R8, according to the scale by Fehr et al. (1971). The leaf area was also measured in these plants on a biweekly nondestructive basis, according to the methodology described by Richter et al. (2014). In all evaluations, the presence or absence of flowers and pods in the plant grain filling stage was determined, in order to analyze the period of total duration of flowering and grain filling.

Gas exchange measurements, such as stomatal conductance (mol H2O m-2 s-1), photosynthetic rate (μmol CO2 m-2 s-1), photosynthetically active radiation (μmol m-2 s-1) (PAR), and leaf temperature (T<sub>L</sub> (°C)) were measured in two plants marked in each plot with a gas exchange meter (LCI-SD Portable Photosynthesis System). Gas exchange measurements were performed between 11:00 and 13:00 h in clear days, at different times of the cycle, on the last leaf with a fully expanded trefoil on the main stem. As gas exchange evaluations are highly dependent on the soil water availability and plant water status, the analysis of variance was performed upon evaluation, considering the cultivar as factor A, and the daily soil water balance status without stress, due to soil water deficit (ETc = ETR), as factor B. The same analysis was performed under the condition of water deficit (Def.), when ETR > ETc.

In Cachoeirinha, plants were collected from a 2 m row throughout the development cycle for dry matter; they were cut close to the soil level, separated into leaf blades, petioles, stems, pods, and grains, and oven-dried at 60°C, to determine the dry matter partition. Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated from two 2 m rows at plot centers. The analysis of variance was performed according to the split-plot two-factorial model, to test the significance of the factors and the interaction between cultivars and water availability. Analyses were performed with Sisvar, version 5.3 (Universidade Federal de Lavras, Lavras, MG, Brazil).

**Results and Discussion**

Abundant and well-distributed rainfall occurred during the 2014/2015 growing season in Rio Grande do Sul state, in both experimental sites. This fact contributed to an outstanding production and productivity in the state. Consequently, days with water deficiency in the municipality of Santa Maria were rare and, when they occurred, the deficiency showed
low intensity. In the municipality of Cachoeirinha, the period of water deficiency was also not long and accentuated; however, short periods without rainfall (five to seven days) caused water deficiency (Figure 1), due to the low available water capacity (AWC) of the soil.

In Cachoeirinha, the root-blocking layer is around 13 cm depth, which is typical of paddy rice fields (Sartori et al., 2015, 2016). In Santa Maria, most of the root system was located up to 30 cm depth. The lower macroporosity in Cachoeirinha (Table 1) allows of low AWC and high density – which characterizes the loss of physical structure in hydromorphic soils, where irrigated rice is cultivated in Rio Grande do Sul (Mentges et al., 2013) –, as well as permits the increasing of resistance to root penetration in the soil (Ortigara et al., 2014).

At both experimental sites, the developmental phases and the total cycle of soybean had a similar duration that was not affected by irrigation (Figure 2). These results agree with the results by Zanon et al. (2015), who found no differences in the duration of the developmental phases and the total development cycle of soybean cultivars grown in hydromorphic and nonhydromorphic soils, under no severe water stresses (deficiency or excess) during the development cycle. The main difference for development between the cultivars was the flowering period duration, which was

![Figure 1](Image)

**Figure 1.** Daily soil water deficit (DEF) and surplus (EXC) throughout the experimental period for soybean (*Glycine max*) cultivars TECIRGA 6070RR (A and B) and A 6411RG (C and D) grown under irrigated (A and C) or nonirrigated (B and D) conditions, in the municipality of Cachoeirinha, in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Arrows indicate the date of the R1 (solid arrow) and R5 (open arrow) soybean developmental stages.
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40% higher for TECIRGA 6070RR, and is associated with the indeterminate growth habit of this cultivar (Figure 2).

The maximum leaf area index (LAI) showed no interaction between cultivar and irrigation in the two evaluated sites. There were no differences for LAI between irrigated and nonirrigated treatments (Figure 3).

Management practices should be intensified in soybean cultivation in hydromorphic soils, in order to minimize stresses such as the loss of cellular turgor (first effect of water stress), which causes reduction of leaf growth rate (Streck, 2004) and, consequently, loss of soybean yield potential (Zanon et al., 2016).

The photosynthetic rates observed in Santa Maria (Table 2), close to 20 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, are similar to those reported in the literature for sites without water stress (Sinclair, 1980; De Bruin et al., 2010). The lower values measured in Cachoeirinha, however, are close to 15 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, and are similar to those reported as moderate water stress in soybean crops (Pereira, 2002). In Santa Maria, no stress altered the photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance (Table 2). In Cachoeirinha, the evaluations under water deficiency conditions differed from those without stress, indicating that irrigation in Santa Maria was efficient and caused neither stomatal conductance (gs) nor photosynthetic rate (A) reduction. It also indicates that some response mechanisms to soil water stress were activated in Cachoeirinha (Streck, 2004).

In general, the lower values of photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance in Cachoeirinha indicate that plants are more vulnerable to soil water stress in a hydromorphic environment (Thomas & Lange, 2014), even in a year with regular and well distributed rainfall.

The differences observed in the water stress levels in Cachoeirinha caused no change on dry matter (DM) partitioning. Among cultivars, TECIRGA 6070RR grain weight showed an abrupt evolution for grain filling at the end of the cycle (Figure 4 A), while A 6411RG showed a constant evolution for grain partition (Figure 4 B). Leaf fraction, especially that of stem, had an inverse response to grain filling in both cultivars, indicating that it serves as an important storage source for grain filling (Mundstock & Thomas, 2005). The abrupt grain filling evolution of TECIRGA 6070RR can be attributed to indeterminate growth type of this cultivar, since its pods show less uniform grain filling than cultivars with determined growth. These results point to the need to ensure that cultivars with this characteristic receive greater attention as for management practices, until the end of the development cycle, to enable all reserves to be translocated to grains. Soybean 'TECIRGA 6070RR', developed to tolerate longer periods of soil water excess, showed higher total dry matter accumulation than the other cultivars on the market. For grain yield, there was no interaction between cultivar and water availability, and there was no difference, within each factor, at both sites. In Santa Maria, productivity ranged from 5,146 to 5,378 kg ha⁻¹, and in Cachoeirinha, from 4,488 to 4,643 kg ha⁻¹. These high yields are within the range (4,300 and 6,000 kg ha⁻¹) found for soybean cultivation in hydromorphic and nonhydromorphic soils in Rio Grande do Sul (Zanon et al., 2015; Sartori et al., 2016). Moreover, they corroborate the hypothesis that although water deficits during crop cycle have no effect on

### Table 1. Soil water content at 10 kPa (Θ₁₀ kPa) and at 1,500 kPa (Θ₁₅₀₀ kPa), maximum soil available water capacity (AWC), percentage of sand, silt and clay, total porosity, macro- and microporosity, and bulk density (Ds) of each soil layer, in the municipalities of Cachoeirinha and Santa Maria, both in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Layer (cm)</th>
<th>Θ₁₀ kPa</th>
<th>Θ₁₅₀₀ kPa</th>
<th>AWC (mm)</th>
<th>Sand (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Silt (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Clay (g kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total Porosity (cm³ cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Macro Porosity (cm³ cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Micro Porosity (cm³ cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Ds (cm³ cm⁻³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cachoeirinha</td>
<td>0–13</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>1.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13–20</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>1.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20–50</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>1.793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Maria</td>
<td>0–15</td>
<td>0.310</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.402</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>1.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>1.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30–50</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>1.261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2. Timing and duration of critical developmental phases of soybean (*Glycine max*) as a function of days after sowing (DAS), in the municipalities of Cachoeirinha (panel 1) and Santa Maria (panel 2), for the cultivars TECIRGA 6070RR (1 A, 1 B, 2 A, and 2 B) and A 6411RG (1 C, 1 D, 2 C, and 2 D), irrigated (1 A, 1 C, 2 A, and 2 C) or nonirrigated (1 B, 1 D, 2 B, and 2 D), during the 2014/2015 growing season. Vegetative phase, from V0 to Vn; flowering phase, from R1 to the last opened flower; grain filling phase, from first pod with 2 mm grain to R7, according to Fehr et al. (1971).
productivity reductions, the productive potential of hydromorphic soils is limited, in comparison to that of nonhydromorphic soils.

The present study was carried out in a single year without severe water deficit; however, water excess and low water deficits were observed for soybean grown in a hydromorphic soil, in the municipality of Cachoeirinha. Therefore, there is a need for further studies aiming to increase soil water availability and, at the same time, to enable fast soil drainage, when rotating soybean with irrigated rice. In this context, soybean crop management in hydromorphic soils should be treated as a higher risk activity, as stresses at an ecophysiological

Figure 3. Daily dynamics of leaf area index of soybean (Glycine max) cultivars TECIRGA 6070RR and A 6411RG grown under irrigated or nonirrigated conditions as a function of days after sowing (DAS), in the municipalities of Santa Maria (A) and Cachoeirinha (B), both in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, during the 2014/2015 growing season.

Table 2. Mean values of photosynthetic rate (A) and stomatal conductance (Gs) in two soybean (Glycine max) cultivars and in two soil water conditions (irrigated and nonirrigated), and average of days with no soil water stress (ETc = ETR) or with soil water stress (Def., when ETR>ETc)1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Irrigated</th>
<th>Santa Maria</th>
<th>Nonirrigated</th>
<th>Santa Maria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cachoeirinha</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>(μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹)</td>
<td>Gs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TECIRGA 6070RR</td>
<td>16.16a</td>
<td>0.28a</td>
<td>21.20a</td>
<td>0.41a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A 6411RG</td>
<td>15.89a</td>
<td>0.33a</td>
<td>21.96a</td>
<td>0.48a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No stress</td>
<td>18.29a</td>
<td>0.39a</td>
<td>22.50a</td>
<td>0.46a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Def.</td>
<td>13.77b</td>
<td>0.22b</td>
<td>20.66a</td>
<td>0.43a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cultivar x water conditions | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| CV 1 (%) | (26.6) | (22.7) | (5.3) | (17.7) | (19.8) | (26.4) | (8.9) | (8.4) |
| CV 2 (%) | (11.5) | (16.8) | (8.9) | (9.0) | (9.6) | (13.1) | (6.5) | (8.5) |

1) Means followed by equal letters, in the columns, do not differ by Tukey’s test, at 5% probability. **Nonsignificant. CV 1, coeficiente of variation of factor A; and CV 2, coeficiente of variation of factor B. ETc, crop evapotranspiration; and ETR, reference evapotranspiration.
scale, to which this crop might be exposed during its lifecycle, are most common in this environment than in highlands.

**Conclusions**

1. The duration of soybean (*Glycine max*) development stages and total cycle are similar for plants grown in hydromorphic and nonhydromorphic soils, and it is unaffected by irrigation.

2. The duration of the flowering period is 40% higher in ‘TECIRGA 6070RR’, which shows an abrupt grain filling evolution at the end of the cycle, due to its indeterminate growth type.

3. Soybean cultivation in hydromorphic soils exposes plants to water stress, even in years with well-distributed rainfall over the growing season, due to the low water storage capacity of these soils.
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