Genetic diversity of irrigated barley based on molecular and quantitative data and on malting quality
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Abstract – The objective of this work was to quantify the genetic diversity of elite genotypes of irrigated barley in the Brazilian savanna. Thirty elite barley genotypes from Embrapa Cerrados' collection were evaluated using 160 RAPD markers, 12 agronomic traits related to yield components, and 10 malting quality parameters. The genetic dissimilarity matrices based on molecular markers, quantitative traits, and malting quality characters were calculated and a cluster analysis was performed using the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) as grouping criterion. High genetic diversity among accessions were observed. The estimated genetic dissimilarities were weakly correlated, showing the complementarity of the different character groups. Selection indices and graphical dispersion analysis allowed the selection of promising genotypes and the indication of suitable crosses for maximizing the heterotic effects in breeding programs for irrigated barley in the Brazilian savanna.

Index terms: Hordeum vulgare, breeding, Cerrado, cluster analysis, genetic resources, selection indices.

Diversidade genética de cevada irrigada com base em dados moleculares e quantitativos e na qualidade malteira

Resumo – O objetivo deste trabalho foi quantificar a diversidade genética de genótipos-elite de cevada irrigada no Cerrado. Trinta genótipos-elite de cevada, da coleção de trabalho da Embrapa Cerrados, foram avaliados com base em 160 marcadores moleculares RAPD, 12 características agronômicas relacionadas aos componentes de produção e 10 características de qualidade malteira. As matrizes de dissimilaridade genética foram calculadas com base nos marcadores moleculares e em caracteres quantitativos e qualitativos, e as análises de agrupamento foram realizadas com o método da média aritmética não ponderada (UPGMA) como critério de agrupamento. Observou-se elevada diversidade genética entre os acessos. As dissimilaridades genéticas estabelecidas estiveram fracamente correlacionadas entre si, o que evidenciou a complementaridade dos diferentes grupos de características. A utilização de índices de seleção e a análise de dispersão gráfica permitiram a seleção de genótipos promissores e a indicação de cruzamentos apropriados para maximizar os efeitos heteróticos no programa de melhoramento genético da cevada irrigada no Cerrado.

Termos para indexação: Hordeum vulgare, melhoramento, Cerrado, análise de grupamento, recursos genéticos, índices de seleção.

Introduction

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) was the first domesticated vegetable crop (Borém, 2009). It is versatile crop of high adaptability to a wide range of environments, which favored its cultivation in several countries. At present, barley is the world’s fourth most produced and marketed cereal. Brazil is the second largest importer of barley in America, and the twelfth worldwide (Faostat, 2012). The malting industry absorbs most of the production, and the rest is commonly used as animal feed and for human consumption (Amabile et al., 2007).

Barley has shown good adaptability to the Brazilian savanna, due to the low incidence of diseases, to its efficiency in water use, and to its high yielding potential, with commercial yields above 7.0 Mg ha⁻¹, excellent commercial classification, and high grain quality (Amabile et al., 2007). The breeding programs have searched for productive genotypes, whose cycle is early or medium, with high malting quality. However, only six cultivars have been recommended for irrigated
systems in the Brazilian savanna: BRS 180, BRS 195, BRS Sampa, BRS Deméter, BRS Manduri and BRS Savanna. The continuous offer of productive, stable, agronomically superior cultivars, with a malting industrial quality profile that meets most of the brewery industry specifications, is mandatory for barley research programs in order to maintain or increase the competition in the malt agribusiness.

The magnitude of genetic variability in accessions used genetic breeding programs of malting barley is controversial. Matus & Hayes (2002) found a narrow genetic base for barley industrial quality, in contrast to other studies that reported great variability for this crop (Canci et al., 2003; Fox et al., 2006; Verma & Sarkar, 2010). Therefore, this and other traits must be widely tested, in order to help the industry and to foster production in new areas potentially favorable to barley. For this reason, more studies on the available genetic diversity for malting quality and good agronomic traits are important.

It is essential to research genetic divergence in the desired environment, identifying genotypes for new hybridizations and allowing the generation of populations with high frequencies of desirable genetic combinations. Some studies on genetic diversity of barley have focused on phenological and quantitative traits (Manjunatha et al., 2007; Shakhatreh et al., 2010), others on analytical determinations of the malting quality (Evans et al., 2010). RAPD molecular markers have been also used (Abdellaoui et al., 2007; Karim et al., 2009). However, literature regarding combined and complimentary use of agro-morphological traits related to malting quality and molecular markers still lacks. This kind of study could guide and create strategies for barley genetic breeding programs.

The objective of this work was to quantify the genetic diversity of elite genotypes of irrigated barley in the Brazilian savanna.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out under conventional irrigation at Embrapa Cerrados, Planaltina, DF, at 15°35'30"S, 47°42'30"W, and 1,007 m altitude, from May 1 to September 30, 2009. The soil was classified as Latossolo Vermelho distroférrico (Rhodic Haplustox) with clayed texture.

It was evaluated 30 elite malting barley genotypes (two and six-rowed) from a working collection of Embrapa Cerrados, which has accessions from Mexico, USA, UK, and Germany, along with the Brazilian ones selected from the barley breeding program of Embrapa (Table 1). It was used a randomized block design with four replicates of five-meter long plots, with six rows (spaced at 20 cm apart), 4.8 m² useful area, and 300 plants m⁻² stand.

Soil preparation was made by incorporating soybean crop debris with 32"-disk plows, followed by the use of leveling disk. Pendimethalin at 3.0 L ha⁻¹ was used in pre-emergency. According to the soil analysis, 16 kg ha⁻¹ N, 120 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅, 64 kg ha⁻¹ K₂O, and 16 kg ha⁻¹ S, were applied. The magnitude of genetic variability in accessions used genetic breeding programs of malting barley is controversial. Matus & Hayes (2002) found a narrow genetic base for barley industrial quality, in contrast to other studies that reported great variability for this crop (Canci et al., 2003; Fox et al., 2006; Verma & Sarkar, 2010). Therefore, this and other traits must be widely tested, in order to help the industry and to foster production in new areas potentially favorable to barley. For this reason, more studies on the available genetic diversity for malting quality and good agronomic traits are important.

It is essential to research genetic diversity in the desired environment, identifying genotypes for new hybridizations and allowing the generation of populations with high frequencies of desirable genetic combinations. Some studies on genetic diversity of barley have focused on phenological and quantitative traits (Manjunatha et al., 2007; Shakhatreh et al., 2010), others on analytical determinations of the malting quality (Evans et al., 2010). RAPD molecular markers have been also used (Abdellaoui et al., 2007; Karim et al., 2009). However, literature regarding combined and complimentary use of agro-morphological traits related to malting quality and molecular markers still lacks. This kind of study could guide and create strategies for barley genetic breeding programs.

The objective of this work was to quantify the genetic diversity of elite genotypes of irrigated barley in the Brazilian savanna.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out under conventional irrigation at Embrapa Cerrados, Planaltina, DF, at 15°35'30"S, 47°42'30"W, and 1,007 m altitude, from May 1 to September 30, 2009. The soil was classified as Latossolo Vermelho distroférrico (Rhodic Haplustox) with clayed texture.

It was evaluated 30 elite malting barley genotypes (two and six-rowed) from a working collection of Embrapa Cerrados, which has accessions from Mexico, USA, UK, and Germany, along with the Brazilian ones selected from the barley breeding program of Embrapa (Table 1). It was used a randomized block design with four replicates of five-meter long plots, with six rows (spaced at 20 cm apart), 4.8 m² useful area, and 300 plants m⁻² stand.

Soil preparation was made by incorporating soybean crop debris with 32"-disk plows, followed by the use of leveling disk. Pendimethalin at 3.0 L ha⁻¹ was used in pre-emergency. According to the soil analysis, 16 kg ha⁻¹ N, 120 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅, 64 kg ha⁻¹ K₂O, and 16 kg ha⁻¹ S, were applied. The magnitude of genetic variability in accessions used genetic breeding programs of malting barley is controversial. Matus & Hayes (2002) found a narrow genetic base for barley industrial quality, in contrast to other studies that reported great variability for this crop (Canci et al., 2003; Fox et al., 2006; Verma & Sarkar, 2010). Therefore, this and other traits must be widely tested, in order to help the industry and to foster production in new areas potentially favorable to barley. For this reason, more studies on the available genetic diversity for malting quality and good agronomic traits are important.

It is essential to research genetic diversity in the desired environment, identifying genotypes for new hybridizations and allowing the generation of populations with high frequencies of desirable genetic combinations. Some studies on genetic diversity of barley have focused on phenological and quantitative traits (Manjunatha et al., 2007; Shakhatreh et al., 2010), others on analytical determinations of the malting quality (Evans et al., 2010). RAPD molecular markers have been also used (Abdellaoui et al., 2007; Karim et al., 2009). However, literature regarding combined and complimentary use of agro-morphological traits related to malting quality and molecular markers still lacks. This kind of study could guide and create strategies for barley genetic breeding programs.

The objective of this work was to quantify the genetic diversity of elite genotypes of irrigated barley in the Brazilian savanna.

### Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out under conventional irrigation at Embrapa Cerrados, Planaltina, DF, at 15°35'30"S, 47°42'30"W, and 1,007 m altitude, from May 1 to September 30, 2009. The soil was classified as Latossolo Vermelho distroférrico (Rhodic Haplustox) with clayed texture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Spike</th>
<th>Distance to the ideotype</th>
<th>Selection index(1) (10⁶)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vicente Morales</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>1.189669</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>1.245159</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS 195</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.264582</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPAC 2002098</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>1.306507</td>
<td>5.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alliot</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.353693</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 2004345</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.380156</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellar</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.475940</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacey</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>1.516221</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 14</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.55690</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS 180</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>1.611160</td>
<td>4.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS Sampa</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.637634</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 2004216</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.656808</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS Deméter</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.704709</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 2003122</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.774193</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIMMYT 48</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>1.777045</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 213660</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.821854</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEV 96046</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.879522</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarlett</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.904478</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 214827-10</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>1.924378</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 98252</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>2.011575</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 70</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>2.029528</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 2005123</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>2.045446</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 2004033</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>2.07664</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danuta</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>2.216143</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS Greta</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>2.23193</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIMMYT 25</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>2.231944</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 99324</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>2.241877</td>
<td>75.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIMMYT 42</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Six-rowed</td>
<td>2.253181</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFC 2001090</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>2.341368</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRS Elisa</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Two-rowed</td>
<td>2.49313</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Parameter and weight free selection index (Elston, 1963).
40 kg ha⁻¹ N were applied on sowing furrows, when the fifth leaf was totally unfolded (Amabile et al., 2007).

Sprinkler irrigation was used based on the volumetric soil water content (θ), measured with a profile probe (Delta T Devices Ltd., Cambridge UK) placed in the planting line (0.10 m; 0.20 m and 0.30 m deep). Irrigations were made when moisture at 0.10 m deep was approximately 0.26 cm³ cm⁻³, corresponding to the consumption of 50% available water. The amount of irrigation was calculated for increasing moisture to 0.35 cm³ cm⁻³ (field capacity), in the soil profile from 0 to 0.35 m, according to daily readings of the probe. A total of 420 mm net irrigation depth was applied during the study.

Twelve quantitative agro-morphological traits were evaluated as follows: distance from the last knot to the rachis; distance from the flag leaf to rachis; spike length; number of grains per ear; flag leaf area – during the linear phase of grain filling, determined using the ImageJ program (Rasband, 2006); heading date (50% of the plots with 50% of ears or more outside the boot); plant height; lodging degree; estimated grain yield; thousand kernel weight; the best grain quality, according to Brasil (1996); and total protein content, using the Kjeldahl method (Yasuhara & Nokihara, 2001).

Quantitative data were subjected to analysis of variance. Ten traits showing significant differences were used to estimate the Mahalanobis's generalized distance (D²) among the genotypes, using Genes program (Cruz, 1997).

After harvesting, grain samples were sent to a malting quality laboratory (Laboratório de Qualidade da Malteria do Vale, Taubaté, SP, Brazil), in order to analyze micromalting with the following determinations: total protein content (%), according to the Kjeldahl method (Yasuhara & Nokihara, 2001); extract fine grind, dry basis (%); Hartong Index VZ (45°C); viscosity 8.6 (mPa s⁻¹); boiled wort color; soluble nitrogen content (mg per 100 g); Kolbach index (%); friability (%); glassy (%); and beta-glucans, according to the European Brewery Convention (1997).

To assist the selection of elite genotypes and potential genitors according to malting quality traits, it was used a selection index based on an ideotype, for which: total protein content is 11.6%; extract yield, 80.9%; Hartong index VZ, 39.9; viscosity, 1.5 mPa s⁻¹; boiled wort color, 6.5; soluble nitrogen content, 781 mg 100g⁻¹; Kolbach index, 42.4%; friability, 87.6%; glassy, 0.2%; and beta-glucans, 25 mg L⁻¹. The genetic dissimilarity among the thirty accessions, based on ten malting quality traits, was estimated through their standardized average Euclidean distance from the ideotype, using Genes program (Cruz, 1997). The genotypes were organized according to the distance from to their ideotype, by which the ones showing smaller distances were classified as superior.

For the molecular analyses, genomic DNA samples from the elite genotypes were collected from the leaflets, eight days after germination, using CTAB (cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide) with some modifications (Faleiro et al., 2003). DNA quantification of each sample was performed by spectrophotometry at 260 nm (A₂₆₀) and purity was measured based on absorbance ratio at 260 and 280 nm. After that, DNA samples were amplified to obtain RAPD markers, according to Costa et al. (2005).

At first, 48 decamer primer (Operon Technologies Inc., Alameda, CA, USA) were tested for adjustments made in the PCR reaction. Following this process, 15 primers with greater amplification quantity and quality were selected: OPD (03, 07, and 08), OPF (05, 09, 14, and 20), OPG (05, 08, 15, and 17), and OPH (04, 12, 14, and 20). The reaction products were placed in agarose gel (1.2%), dyed in 10 µg mL⁻¹ ethidium bromide, and immersed in TBE buffer (90 mmol L⁻¹ Tris-borate, 1 mmol L⁻¹ EDTA). Electrophoretic separation was performed for four hours at 90 volts. Gels were photographed using an ultraviolet light.

All RAPD markers obtained were converted into a binary data matrix, and the genetic distance among genotypes was estimated based on the complement of Nei & Li’s similarity coefficient, using Genes program (Cruz, 1997).

Based on the genetic dissimilarity matrices obtained from quantitative agronomic traits and on the characters of malting quality and RAPD molecular markers, three cluster analyses were performed using the unweighted pair-group method arithmetic average (UPGMA) and the dispersion graphical analysis, according to multidimensional scales using the principal coordinates method, through Genes program (Cruz, 1997). Genetic distances were transformed in percentage values for the cluster analyses, by which the smallest distance was 0% and the largest 100%. The adjustment between the dissimilarity matrix and the generated dendrogram.
was calculated using the cophenetic correlation coefficient (r) with software Ntsys-pc 2.1 (Numerical Classification System) (Rohlf, 2000). Later on, the correlations between the genetic distances in different groups of traits were estimated.

To select elite genotypes and potential genitors for advances in the genetic breeding program based on different traits of interest, a weight-free index or parameter (Elston, 1963) was used along with Genes software (Cruz, 1997). Cut-off points (k, values) were defined according to the average traits: spike length (8.85 cm), number of grains per ear (20.66), flag leaf area (14.38 cm²), heading (37.5 days), thousand kernel weight (36.62 g), and distance from the last knot to rachis (30.83 cm). As for estimated grain yield, the k, value was determined by the mean plus ½ σ (6.187 kg ha⁻¹), and for the best quality grain, the k, value was 80%, a value recommended by MAPA (Brasil, 1996). The k, values for lodging and plant height were set as 0% and 96.5 cm, respectively. Genotypes were selected for the following traits with means above k, values: spike length, number of grains per ear, flag leaf area, thousand kernel weight, estimated grain yield, and the best quality grain. Genotypes were also selected for the traits with means lower than k, values, which were: heading, distance from the last knot to the rachis, plant height and lodging.

The selection index for each genotype was calculated. Gains by direct selection were estimated using the following expression: ΔG (%) = (ds × h²)100/X₀, in which: ΔG is the expected gain with selection (%); ds is the selection differential; X₀ is the original average per trait, and h² is the heritability coefficient in the broad sense, using Genes program (Cruz, 1997). The original population considered all accessions, while the improved population only took into consideration the selected genotypes.

Results and Discussion

Genetic variability analyses based on agro-morphological traits, using the Mahalanobis’s generalized distance, showed that genotypes PFC 2004345 and PFC 2005123 were the most dissimilar between themselves. The smallest distance estimate was found for BRS 195 two-rowed witness and Alliot genotype, although no significant degree of genetic relationship was found among them according to genealogy. The six-rowed genotype CPAC 20020098 showed the smallest distance from six-rowed witness BRS 180. Genotype PFC 2005123 was the most distant from BRS 195 and BRS 180, and also showed the largest mean distance in relation to all other genotypes. This amplitude reflects a wide genetic variability among the genotypes, a fundamental condition for the genetic breeding, as it was also observed by Manjunatha et al. (2007) and Shakhatreh et al. (2010) in barley collections according to agro-morphological traits.

Cluster analysis showed three significant groups of similarity, using the average relative genetic distance 24.73% (Figure 1, A1 and A2) as the cut-off point. Group 1, composed only by genotype PFC 2005123, showed the greatest divergence. Group 2, except for C-70 two-rowed genotype, was composed by six-rowed genotypes, as follows: three Mexican (Vicente Morales, CIMMYT 25, and CIMMYT 42); two North American (BRS 180 and C-70); and the Brazilian genotype PFC 98252, from a North American population and CPAC 20020098, selected from a population of Mexican plants. A tendency of genotype concentration from Mexico was observed, except for genotype CIMMYT 48. This fact can be explained by the emphasis given to the selection and collection of six-rowed materials for an irrigated system from the Mexican breeding program.

Group 3 was composed of 21 out of the 30 genotypes. This conglomerate indicates that most genotypes had high agro-morphological similarity degree, and most of the accessions from the group were from an elite work collection of Embrapa Cerrados. It was possible to verify the structure of a subgroup involving cultivars BRS Elis, BRS Sampa and Scarlett, in which BRS Elis as well as BRS Sampa have BRS 195 as one of their genitors; moreover, BRS Elis’s genitor is cultivar Scarlett. A larger subgroup was composed of BRS 195 with the genotypes mentioned above, indicating that the high similarity found is partially explained by the genic lineage from cultivar BRS 195.

As for the malting quality traits, the genetic distance estimates evidenced genotypes PFC 214827-10 and PFC 2004033 as the closest ones; both came from the Brazilian genetic breeding program (Figure 1, B1 and B2). The largest distance was found between the Mexican genotype CIMMYT 25 and cultivar BRS Elis. Considering that the malting quality traits are strongly

DOI: 10.1590/S0100-204X2013000700007
influenced by the environment (Canci et al., 2003), studies in different environments should be performed. Cluster analyses enabled the formation of five groups. As a cut-off point, the relative average genetic distance of 43.46% was adopted. Three unitary groups were composed of genotypes PFC 2001090, BRS Elis and variety Scarlett. When studying the accession origins, no clustering tendency was found, since qualitative traits are complex variables and depend on the interacted expression of a great number of genes (Ceccarelli et al., 2007) that are not related to the geographical origin of accessions. It is possible that

---

**Figure 1.** Cluster analysis and dispersion plot of 30 elite barley genotypes, based on the relative genetic dissimilarity (%) calculated using 12 quantitative agronomic traits (A1 and A2), 10 malting quality traits (B1 and B2), and 160 RAPD markers (C1 and C2). Origin-based clusters of genetic samples: (◊) Brazil; (▲) Mexico; (■) England; (+) Germany and (●) United States.
the elite accessions from each origin have a genetic mixture, which might have occurred when obtaining the material from the barley genetic breeding programs made in each country of origin. Therefore, based on the genetic distance among accessions of different groups, the contrasting parents may be selected and used in the hybridization program to generate a greater variability as for the malting quality, which is recommended by Sarkar et al. (2008).

All 15 decamer primers employed generated 141 polymorphic RAPD markers (88.12% of the total), with 10.7 average bands per primer. Primers OPD07, OPD08, OPF05 and OPH12 showed the greatest number of polymorphic bands, while OPH14 enabled the largest amount of monomorphic bands. The high percentage of polymorphic markers and high average of markers obtained by the primers evidenced the existence of a high genetic variability among the barley elite genotypes from the working collection belonging to Embrapa Cerrados. This variability might be explained by the broad genetic base available at the germplasm bank in Brazil. This high variability among barley genotypes have also been reported for other collections although authors have used a genetic base different from the one used at the present study (Abdellaoui et al., 2007; Karim et al., 2009). The genetic dissimilarity estimates showed that the North American cultivars Foster and C-70 were the most similar, while the English barley Prestige and Brazilian FM 404 were the less dissimilar between themselves (Figure 1, C1 and C2). The last two would be more recommended for crossings with the purpose of increasing the variability in the resulting population.

Cluster analyses, using the UPGMA method, revealed the existence of eight similarity groups, with several subgroups, using as cut-off point 45.6% as the mean relative genetic distance (Figure 1, C1). A major group of similarity was formed, in which all six-rowed genotypes were assigned, regardless of their origin. These elite genotypes were obtained from selections and hybridizations made in the USA, Mexico, and Brazil. In this main similarity group, one may evidence the disposition of subgroups of major similarity. One of them includes cultivar BRS 195 and genotype PFC 2003122, which might be explained by the fact that BRS 195 is the genitor of genotype PFC 2003122. Moreover, all Mexican, North American, and English genotypes were assigned in this group.

These analyses indicate a probable consequence of the selection pressure by plant breeders in the mentioned countries. Noteworthy, there should have been a clustering of Mexican and North American genetic materials in a certain moment of the barley breeding program performed in their respective countries, when the plant breeders focused on the selection of six-rowed materials for irrigated environments. On the contrary, Brazilian and German materials showed a greater dissimilarity, not assigned to a single group, which makes it interesting to broaden the genetic base of breeding programs.

Additionally, six peculiar divergent single groups were observed, out of which five were composed of Brazilian genotypes and one was a German genotype. Only genotypes from these two countries had representatives that were not assigned to the main clustering. Group 1 had the genotype PFC 2004033 as the most divergent (Figure 1 C1). This genotype should be used in hybrid combinations and to obtain new populations because of the high divergence it has shown, which therefore enables favorable gene combinations.

The coefficient of phenotypic correlation between the genetic distance and clustering analyses matrices has shown a high magnitude (0.86, 0.91 and 0.84) for agro-morphological, malting quality and molecular data, respectively, providing reliability for the visual assessment of data.

Genetic distance matrices estimated by quantitative traits, malting quality and RAPD markers showed weak, but significant correlations. RAPD markers may access noncoded genomic regions, while phenotypic traits are determined by coded regions whose expression depend on the environment. This explains the weak correlation between the estimated distance matrices based on different parameters. Besides, because the trait is quantitative, this correlation between estimated distances related to agro-morphological and quality traits becomes difficult, since it involves several genes of minor effect influenced by the environment. As for the elite collection, these low correlations show the need for complementary use of different groups of traits in the selection process of genitors. It is known that correlations related to agronomic and malting quality traits may vary among and within populations, as well as among environments, providing a great variation in the degree and type of association, in a way that the
selection process by plant breeders becomes difficult (Piepho & Williams, 2006).

Bertan et al. (2009) compared genetic and morphological dissimilarities in wheat and also found low correlation values (0.05). Liu et al. (2007) observed that there was no significant correlation among the matrices generated by microsatellite and agro-morphological markers in wheat. However, Lund et al. (2013) observed a certain association between the microsatellite markers and agro-morphological descriptors in barley. According to N’Goran et al. (1994), the possible association between genetic and phenotypic dissimilarity varies with the size and genetic variability of samples, and also with the different properties of molecular markers and morphological traits. The sequence DNA variation not always results in phenotypic variations. Also, the lack of phenotypic variations not always means a lack of DNA variation, since different genes could result in the expression of a same phenotype (Rana et al., 2005).

The lack of association among the estimates should not be considered as a limitation of these tools to access genetic variability; on the contrary, it should be considered as an indication of complementarity among them (Lefebvre et al., 2001; Rana et al., 2005; Li et al., 2008), which can be explained by different properties of molecular markers and qualitative and quantitative traits. Thus, the integration and complementary use of molecular information and qualitative and quantitative traits are important for the selection of both elite genotypes and potential genitors in breeding programs.

The elite genotype selection based on quantitative traits was performed using Elston’s selection index (1963) (Table 1). Since the criteria for cut-off points concerning the traits were very strict, only genotypes PFC 99324, BRS 180 and CPAC 20020098 showed values above zero. These are all six-rowed genotypes that meet the established requirements for selection related to agronomic traits, and are therefore appropriate for use in the final stages of the breeding program.

The selected genotypes showed positive selection gains for distance from the last knot to rachis, spike length, number of grains per ear, flag leaf area, estimated grain yield, better grain quality, and plant height (Table 2). Except for plant height, these positive gains are desirable. Although plant height was greater in the selected genotypes, they showed no lodging degree, and exhibited a negative selection gain, which is desirable. The predicted gains, high number of grains per ear, and flag leaf area are in agreement with the barley ideotype defined by Rasmusson (1987), who establishes a genotype with a higher number of grains per ear and leaf area. Negative selection gains were found for heading period (indicating the highest genotype earliness), and also for thousand kernel weight. The latter trait did not strongly affect yield, but it was compensated by other primary yield traits, as the length and number of grains per ear. It is important to emphasize that thousand kernel weight is a trait which contributes for yield increase (Rasmusson, 1987).

The broad heritability coefficients were above 88% for most of the traits, evidencing a higher genetic contribution related to the environmental effect for the phenotype selection (Table 2). Similar results for heading (99.13%) and plant height (95%) were observed by Marquez-Cedillo et al. (2001); for thousand kernel weight (97.32%) by Chand et al. (2008); and for the best quality grain (96.67%) by Fox (2008). Lodging,

### Table 2. Estimates of broad heritability, selection gains, mean original population, and improved population for different traits of elite barley genotypes under an irrigated system in the Brazilian savanna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Original population</th>
<th>Improved population</th>
<th>Heritability (%)</th>
<th>Selection gain (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance from the last knot to the rachis (cm)</td>
<td>22.04</td>
<td>26.04</td>
<td>96.76</td>
<td>17.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike length (cm)</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>93.20</td>
<td>15.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of grains per ear</td>
<td>39.21</td>
<td>60.79</td>
<td>99.06</td>
<td>54.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag leaf area (cm²)</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>21.31</td>
<td>97.19</td>
<td>46.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heading (days)</td>
<td>59.63</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>99.33</td>
<td>-10.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated grain yield (kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>5,797.37</td>
<td>6,659.33</td>
<td>95.65</td>
<td>14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of thousand kernels (g)</td>
<td>44.02</td>
<td>41.21</td>
<td>96.48</td>
<td>-6.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest grain quality (%)</td>
<td>85.65</td>
<td>86.08</td>
<td>88.37</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>85.01</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>93.65</td>
<td>9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lodging(1)</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42.71</td>
<td>-42.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1)Transformed data to arcsen X⁰⁵/100, in which X equals the value of lodging (%).
with an estimated heritability of 42.71%, is in the interval reported by Gut et al. (2004).

Values found for the selection index based on the ideotype were used to select elite genotypes according to their qualitative traits. The genotypes Vicente Morales, Foster, BRS 195, CPAC 20020098 and Alliot (Table 1) showed the closest values to the ideotype.

The position of selected genotypes in the dispersion graphs (Figure 1, A2, B2 and C2) evidenced the genetic distances among them. Based on these distances, the most divergent crosses among the selected genotypes, according to quantitative traits and malting quality, can be indicated as: CPAC 20020098 x Vicente Morales, CPAC 20020098 x BRS 180, Foster x BRS 180, Alliot x BRS 180 and BRS 195 x BRS 180. These crosses enable the combination of targeted traits and maximization of genetic distances among potential genitors, increasing the possibilities of interested genic complementation.

**Conclusions**

1. The elite barley genotype collection has genetic diversity based on agro-morphological traits, malting quality, and molecular markers.

2. Genetic dissimilarity estimates based on molecular markers, quantitative and qualitative traits are weakly correlated.

3. There is a complementarity of agro-morphological, quality, and molecular traits when studying the genetic diversity.

4. The use of selection indices and graphical dispersion analyses of genotypes enables the selection of promising genotypes and crosses, in order to maximize the heterotic effects and genetic complementarity in the genetic breeding program for irrigated barley in the Brazilian savanna.

**Acknowledgement**

To Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Embrapa), and to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), for financial support.

**References**


